

1 Supporting Information for *Geochemistry and petrography of martian meteorite Northwest Africa 11115:*  
2 *A rare earth element-enriched olivine-phyric shergottite closely linked to Northwest Africa 1068*  
3 M. Melwani Daswani, N. D. Greber, Jinping Hu, R. C. Greenwood, and P. R. Heck

4

## 5 **1 Comparison between previously reported bulk chemistry data and superseding new** 6 **analyses**

7 A chip of NWA 11115 (*Sample-FMNH*) was homogenized by using an agate mortar and pestle,  
8 yielding approximately 31 mg of powder. The powder was fluxed with LiBO<sub>2</sub> and fused and quenched  
9 into five glass beads. The beads were mounted in an epoxy on one sample holder and polished. NIST  
10 Standard Reference Materials (SRM) 610 and 612 were used for measurement calibration. NIST SRM  
11 610 followed by two blanks was used for bracketing unknowns. We carried out a total of 24 repeat  
12 analyses of the sample. Data were reduced using the SILLS software (Guillong et al. 2008).

13 The bulk composition of *Sample FMNH* was analyzed at the Field Museum of Natural History  
14 (Chicago) using Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS). The LA-ICP-MS  
15 setup at the Field Museum's Elemental Analysis Facility is a ThermoFisher Scientific iCAP Qc  
16 Quadrupole ICP-MS Spectrometer coupled to a New Wave UP213 UV laser ablation system. For this  
17 study a laser aperture resulting in a 100 μm spot size was used.

18 The LA-ICP-MS analyses carried out at the FMNH resulted in some anomalous values, some of  
19 which (including previously unknown K/Th ratios for the martian meteorites and surface) were reported  
20 in Melwani Daswani et al. (2017). Because some dubious element abundances were obtained, we carried  
21 out electron probe microanalyses (EPMA) of the glass sample prepared for the FMNH to test its  
22 compositional homogeneity and whether the unusual analyses were caused by the instrument. EPMA  
23 analyses confirmed that the K concentration of the sample was low, but there were significant differences  
24 in the concentrations of other elements (Supplementary Table 1).

25 The EMPA analysis of FMNH-beats were performed using a JEOL 8200 electron microprobe  
26 (WDS: 15 kV; 5 nA; beam defocused to 20 μm) interfaced with the Probe for EPMA program from Probe  
27 Software, Inc. Standards for these analyses were synthetic fayalite (FeK $\alpha$ ), Shankland forsterite (MgK $\alpha$ ),  
28 synthetic Mn<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> (MnK $\alpha$ ), synthetic anorthite (AlK $\alpha$ , SiK $\alpha$ , CaK $\alpha$ ), Amelia albite (NaK $\alpha$ ), Asbestos  
29 microcline (KK $\alpha$ ), synthetic TiO<sub>2</sub> (TiK $\alpha$ ), and synthetic Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (CrK $\alpha$ ). Quantitative elemental  
30 microanalyses were processed with the CITZAF correction procedure (Armstrong 1995). Nominal ~85%  
31 percent of LiBO<sub>2</sub> is added in Probe Software to correct for matrix effect and achieve near 100% oxide wt%  
32 total. The LiBO<sub>2</sub> was later excluded for reporting the composition of FMNH-beats (supplementary Table  
33 1).

34 As a result of the discrepancies between the analytical results of the same sample, and the unusual  
35 element mass ratios (especially the low relative potassium: K/Th, K/La), we performed a separate LA-ICP-  
36 MS analysis at the University of Bern (*Sample-UniBern*), which is described in the Main Text, and we  
37 include the calibration measurements and 27 spot analyses in the Supplementary Spreadsheet File S1. In  
38 addition, we back-calculated the bulk rock chemistry from the modal mineralogy, and obtained a  
39 composition that was significantly closer to *Sample-UniBern* (calculations shown in Supplementary  
40 Spreadsheet File S1, and summarized results shown in Supplementary Table 3). Thus, we consider that the  
41 results from *Sample-UniBern* supersede the *Sample-FMNH* analyses.

42

Supplementary Table 1. Whole rock chemistry of two samples of NWA 1115. Oxides are reported in wt. %, and individual elements in  $\mu\text{g/g}$ .

	Sample-FMNH						Sample-UniBern		
	LA-ICP-MS			EPMA Caltech			LA-ICP-MS		
	n	Mean	$\pm 2\sigma$	n	Mean	$\pm 2\sigma$	n	Mean	$\pm 2\sigma$
SiO <sub>2</sub>	24	52.56	2.78	8	44.74	1.09	27	47.90	1.09
TiO <sub>2</sub>	24	0.96	0.03	8	0.45	0.75	27	0.74	0.28
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	24	8.08	1.00	8	10.16	0.87	27	6.53	0.97
FeO <sub>T</sub>	24	16.27	4.25	8	22.32	1.72	27	20.97	1.43
MnO	24	0.50	0.03	8	0.72	0.41	27	0.51	0.03
MgO	23	8.72	1.14	8	11.36	0.84	27	12.27	0.74
CaO	24	10.15	1.39	8	8.66	0.45	27	8.67	0.48
Na <sub>2</sub> O	24	0.84	0.13	8	0.66	0.25	27	1.30	0.18
K <sub>2</sub> O	24	0.03	0.02	8	0.02	0.05	27	0.18	0.04
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	20	0.78	0.02				27	0.73	0.21
Li							27	4.34	0.50
Be	16	0.78	0.39				27	0.37	0.15
B							27	3.66	0.98
Cl	18	415.54	313.22						
Sc	20	52.04	1.22				27	44.69	4.23
V	24	244.17	19.23				27	232.17	41.30
Cr	24	2555.65	200.91	8	2188.82	742.72	27	2348.05	1560.64
Co	24	45.83	4.13				27	47.00	4.04
Ni	24	143.14	30.72				27	138.03	20.90
Cu	20	28.67	31.77				27	15.44	4.62
Zn	20	42.32	8.69				27	91.22	13.44
Ga	20	15.21	1.22				27	14.79	2.52
Ge							27	0.80	0.12
As	24	bdl <sup>a</sup>	nd <sup>b</sup>				27	0.19	0.04
Rb	22	2.35	7.26				27	6.86	2.91
Sr	24	77.31	6.72				27	56.13	6.77
Y	24	19.04	2.01				27	17.55	5.46
Zr	24	79.15	9.11				27	57.27	22.97
Nb	24	5.29	0.60				27	3.84	1.80
Mo	24	3.28	0.66				27	0.13	0.04
Ag	4	0.26	0.24				27	0.01	0.01
Cd	12	0.36	0.72				26	0.04	0.02
In							27	0.03	0.01
Sn	23	0.71	0.95				27	0.30	0.08
Sb	17	0.72	0.88				21	0.01	0.01
Cs	22	0.28	0.50				27	0.46	0.22
Ba	20	110.09	5.52				27	62.47	7.77
La	20	4.16	0.53				27	2.92	1.00
Ce	23	6.64	1.18				27	6.82	2.45
Pr	24	1.06	0.36				27	0.94	0.35
Nd	24	4.81	0.74				27	4.68	1.70
Sm	24	1.92	0.42				27	1.76	0.63
Eu	23	0.82	0.27				27	0.67	0.20
Gd	24	2.96	0.65				27	2.72	0.97
Tb	23	0.64	0.29				27	0.48	0.15

Dy	23	3.74	0.64	27	3.32	1.04
Ho	24	0.91	0.26	27	0.68	0.21
Er	23	2.29	0.55	27	2.01	0.58
Tm	24	0.40	0.18	27	0.26	0.07
Yb	24	2.00	0.41	27	1.76	0.42
Lu	20	0.41	0.17	27	0.25	0.06
Hf	24	2.49	0.37	27	1.69	0.60
Ta	24	0.39	0.27	27	0.18	0.09
W	24	1.97	0.84	27	0.50	0.15
Re	3	0.05	0.01	0		
Au	24	bdl	nd	0	-	-
Tl				27	0.03	0.01
<sup>206</sup> Pb	14	1.26	1.42	0		
<sup>207</sup> Pb	12	1.58	2.85	0		
<sup>208</sup> Pb	14	0.76	0.74	27	0.70	0.33
Bi	17	0.19	0.33	20	0.004	0.003
Th	24	0.59	0.22	27	0.51	0.14
U	20	0.40	0.51	27	0.13	0.02
Mg#	23	58.24	3.30			

43 <sup>a</sup>At or below the detection limit. Values represent maximum concentration measured.

44

*Supplementary Table 2. Element ratios used for provenance tests. Martian meteorite average ratios from Taylor (2013).*

	FMNH MS	LA-ICP- MS	Caltech EPMA	UniBern	LA-ICP-MS	Martian average	meteorite	
	Ratio	±2σ	Ratio	±2σ	Ratio	±2σ	Ratio	±2σ
<hr/>								
Martian meteorite tests								
Fe/Mn	32.88	10.63	33.14	15.75	41.43	1.71	40.3	2.3
K/Th	464.29	308.80	-	-	2987.43	810.43	5300 <sup>a</sup>	220 <sup>a</sup>
Cl/Th	694.69	546.21	-	-	-	-	236.29	Nd
P/Yb	1694.51	457.88	-	-	1802.14	211.60	2065.27	Nd
Ga ppm/Al wt. %	3.47	0.28	-	-	4.28	0.62	4.067	Nd
Na/Al	0.15	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.28	0.02	0.247	Nd
MgO wt%/Cu ppm	0.37	0.16	-	-	0.81	0.24	0.611	Nd
Shergottite tests								

Rb/La	0.54	1.70	-	-	2.38	1.05	2.9066	Nd
Rb/K	0.01	0.03	-	-	0.005	0.001	0.0047	Nd
Cs/La	0.07	0.11	-	-	0.16	0.08	0.1805	Nd
Weathering tests								
Th/U	2.05	1.91	-	-	4.09	0.77		
Sr/Nd	16.13	2.34	-	-	12.26	3.53		
Ce/Ce <sup>*b</sup>	0.78	0.12	-	-	1.01	0.04		
K/La	57.92	25.57	-	-	526.94	154.59		

45 <sup>a</sup>Global surface average from the Gamma Ray Spectrometer on Mars Odyssey (Taylor et al. 2006).

46

*Supplementary Table 3. Back-calculation of the bulk chemical composition of NWA 11115 from the modal mineralogy, using the mean mineral compositions determined using spot analyses with the BSE SEM (see main text). Densities to derive the mass from the mode were obtained from the Handbook of Mineralogy (Anthony et al.). Since minor sulfide and silica phases were not analyzed, they are assumed to be pyrite and quartz for the purposes of recalculating the bulk composition. Slight discrepancies in the sum totals are due to rounding errors. Detailed calculation is found in the Supplementary Spreadsheet File S1.*

	Olivine	Clinopyroxene	Plagioclase	FeCrMnMgAl Ti oxides	Sulfides	Phosphates	Silica	Total wt. %
Normalized mode	14.36	50.60	30.62	1.91	0.30	2.11	0.10	100
Normalized mass	16.85	52.86	25.14	2.66	0.46	1.95	0.08	100
SiO <sub>2</sub>	5.84	27.27	14.03	0.01	nd	nd	0.08	47.24
TiO <sub>2</sub>	nd	0.12	bdl	0.04	nd	nd	nd	0.16
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	nd	0.81	6.81	0.18	nd	<0.01	nd	7.81
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	nd	0.21	nd	1.43	nd	nd	nd	1.64
FeO <sub>T</sub>	6.91	11.07	0.19	0.88	0.14	0.05	nd	19.23
MnO	0.13	0.36	nd	0.02	nd	nd	nd	0.51
MgO	3.83	9.83	0.05	0.08	nd	nd	nd	13.79

CaO	0.04	2.78	2.49	<0.01	nd	1.03	nd	6.35
Na <sub>2</sub> O	nd	bdl	1.36	nd	nd	0.03	nd	1.39
K <sub>2</sub> O	nd	nd	0.09	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.09
P	0.01	bdl	nd	nd	nd	0.91	nd	0.92
S	0.01	0.03	nd	nd	0.09	<0.01	nd	0.13

47

48

### References

49 Anthony J. W., Bideaux R. A., Bladh K. W., and Nichols M. C. Handbook of Mineralogy. *Handbook of*  
50 *Mineralogy*. <http://handbookofmineralogy.org/> (Accessed July 25, 2021).

51 Armstrong J. T. 1995. CITZAF: A package of correction programs for the quantitative Electron  
52 Microbeam X-Ray-Analysis of thick polished materials, thin-films, and particles. *Microbeam*  
53 *Analysis* 4:177–200.

54 Guillong M., Meier D. L., Allan M. M., Heinrich C. A., and Yardley B. W. 2008. Appendix A6: SILLS:  
55 A MATLAB-based program for the reduction of laser ablation ICP-MS data of homogeneous  
56 materials and inclusions. *Mineralogical Association of Canada Short Course* 40:328–333.

57 Melwani Daswani M., Heck P. R., Greber N. D., and Greenwood R. C. 2017. Petrography and  
58 Geochemistry of Northwest Africa 11115: A New, Enriched, High Thorium Basaltic Shergottite.  
59 In *80th Annual Meeting of the Meteoritical Society*. p. 6302.

60 Taylor G. J. et al. 2006. Variations in K/Th on Mars. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*  
61 111:E03S06.

62 Taylor G. J. 2013. The bulk composition of Mars. *Chemie der Erde - Geochemistry* 73:401–420.

63