Characterizing the role of non-linear interactions in the transition to submesoscale dynamics at a dense filament

Mara A. Freilich¹, Luc Lenain¹, and Sarah T. Gille²

¹Scripps Institution of Oceanography ²University of California, San Diego

January 26, 2024

Abstract

Ocean dynamics at the submesoscale play a key role in mediating upper-ocean energy dissipation and dispersion of tracers. Observations of ocean currents from synoptic mesoscale surveys at submesoscale resolution (250 m–100 km) from a novel airborne instrument (MASS DoppVis) reveal that the kinetic energy spectrum in the California Current System is nearly continuous from 100 km to sub-kilometer scales, with a k^{-2} spectral slope. Although there is not a transition in the kinetic energy spectral slope, there is a transition in the dynamics to non-linear interactions at scales of O(1 km). Barotropic kinetic energy transfer across spatial scales is enabled by interactions between the rotational and divergent components of the flow field at the submesoscale. Kinetic energy flux is intermittent but can be large, particularly at submesoscale fronts. Kinetic energy is transferred both downscale and upscale from 1 km in the observations of a cold filament.

Characterizing the role of non-linear interactions in the transition to submesoscale dynamics at a dense filament

Mara Freilich¹, Luc Lenain¹, Sarah T. Gille¹ $^1\mathrm{Scripps}$ Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego 5 **Key Points:** 6 • Remote sensing observations reveal a kinetic energy spectrum with a continuous 7 slope from 100 km to 1 km in an eastern boundary region. 8 • Between 1 and 10 km, ageostrophic non-linear interactions become dynamically 9 important 10 • Cross-scale kinetic energy transfers computed from 2D velocity observations are 11 associated with shear strain in the observed front. 12

Corresponding author: Mara Freilich, mfreilich@ucsd.edu

13 Abstract

Ocean dynamics at the submesoscale play a key role in mediating upper-ocean energy 14 dissipation and dispersion of tracers. Observations of ocean currents from synoptic mesoscale 15 surveys at submesoscale resolution (250 m–100 km) from a novel airborne instrument 16 (MASS DoppVis) reveal that the kinetic energy spectrum in the California Current Sys-17 tem is nearly continuous from 100 km to sub-kilometer scales, with a k^{-2} spectral slope. 18 Although there is not a transition in the kinetic energy spectral slope, there is a tran-19 sition in the dynamics to non-linear ageostrophic interactions at scales of $\mathcal{O}(1 \text{ km})$. Ki-20 netic energy transfer across spatial scales is enabled by interactions between the rota-21 tional and divergent components of the flow field at the submesoscale. Kinetic energy 22 flux is patchy and localized at submesoscale fronts. Kinetic energy is transferred both 23

 $_{24}$ downscale and upscale from 1 km in the observations of a cold filament.

²⁵ Plain Language Summary

Ocean dynamics at scales of 100 m-10 km, called the submesoscale, are important 26 because they are associated with large velocity gradients and non-linear interactions. Large 27 gradients lead to vertical velocity, which facilitates ocean-atmosphere interactions and 28 ocean biological processes. Velocity gradients and non-linear processes combine to trans-29 fer kinetic energy from the large-scale flow to small-scale perturbations. This can lead 30 to instabilities that dissipate energy in the ocean surface layer (rather than the seafloor). 31 32 Here we analyze novel observations that provide insight into ocean dynamics through the distributions of velocity gradients and energy transfer at 1 km scale. Dynamics at 33 these scales have previously been modeled, but have not been observed directly. We ob-34 serve a transition where non-linear dynamics become more important at scales of order 35 10 km. We also introduce new interpretations of spectral analysis (analysis of energy and 36 correlations across scales). Moreover, we analyze covariance of velocity gradient quan-37 tities and flow energetics to demonstrate that energy flux is episodic and localized at fronts. 38 Together, these observations demonstrate that fronts play an important role in boundary-39 layer kinetic energy processes and highlight the evolution of upwelling filaments. 40

41 **1** Introduction

Ocean processes in the surface boundary layer play a critical role in mediating the
 influence of atmospheric and climate processes on the ocean. Heating, wind-driven mo mentum input, and gas exchange occur at the sea surface and are transmitted through
 the boundary layer and into the ocean interior. The boundary layer also resides in the
 photic zone, where there is enough light for photosynthesis.

Submesoscale dynamics, the dynamics that operate at the spatial scales between 47 the nearly geostrophically balanced mesoscale eddies (~ 100 km scales in mid-latitudes) 48 and three-dimensional turbulence (< 100 m scales), are particularly important for these 49 boundary layer processes (McWilliams, 2016). Submesoscales influence ocean biogeochem-50 istry by modulating vertical transport (Mahadevan, 2016; Freilich et al., 2022) and in-51 fluence air-sea interactions by modulating buoyancy and momentum transfer (Strobach 52 et al., 2022). Submesoscale dynamics are hypothesized to facilitate a forward cascade 53 of kinetic energy resulting in dissipation of eddy kinetic energy in the surface ocean (Müller 54 et al., 2005; Capet et al., 2008b; Barkan et al., 2015; Srinivasan et al., 2023). However, 55 submesoscale dynamics are also known to cascade energy upscale, strengthening mesoscale 56 features (Schubert et al., 2020; Sandery & Sakov, 2017; Qiu et al., 2014). Determining 57 the specifics of the dynamics in this transitional range of 100 m-100 km is essential for 58 quantifying kinetic energy cycles in the ocean (Ferrari & Wunsch, 2009; McWilliams, 2016; 59 Naveira Garabato et al., 2022). 60

The submesoscale is defined dynamically as the regime where the Rossby number, a non-dimensional parameter defined as Ro = U/(fL), is order 1 with velocity U, horizontal length scale L, and Coriolis parameter f. While geostrophic dynamics are thought to predominate at the mesoscale and larger, geostrophic balance can begin to break down at the submesoscale. At the larger end of the submesoscale, the surface quasigeostrophy framework presupposes that surface density fronts modify geostrophic balance (Klein & Lapeyre, 2009) while other theoretical results emphasize the role of non-linear advection in submesoscale dynamics (Barkan et al., 2019).

In this work we characterize the transition to submesoscale dynamics at scales smaller 69 than 10 km and provide observational analysis of the kinetic energy cascade that has been 70 hypothesized from models and theory. We observe submesoscale ocean surface velocity 71 using remote sensing from airplanes during the submesoscale ocean dynamics experiment 72 (S-MODE) field campaign (Farrar et al., 2020). We find substantial kinetic energy at 73 the submesoscale, with a kinetic energy spectral slope that is nearly continuous from 100 74 to 1 km spatial scales. The dynamics that result in the spatial distribution of kinetic en-75 ergy at the submesoscale are diagnosed through analysis of velocity cross spectra. These 76 reveal that non-linear interactions between balanced and unbalanced dynamics contribute 77 to submesoscale energy and illuminate the dynamics influencing upper-ocean velocity 78 gradient distributions. 79

80 2 Methods

81

2.1 Remote sensing

The observations used in this study were collected by the DoppVis instrument (Lenain 82 et al., 2023), a new sensor that is part of the Modular Aerial Sensing System (MASS; 83 Melville et al., 2016), that infers currents from optical observations of the spatio-temporal evolution, i.e. dispersion relationship, of surface waves. This method infers the depth-85 resolved Lagrangian current in the upper ocean. Here, we use the depth averaged cur-86 rent over the upper 2 meters. Details about the DoppVis instrument are available in Lenain 87 et al. (2023). The instrument package was installed on a Twin Otter DH-6 aircraft, fly-88 ing at constant altitude above mean sea level (hereafter, altitude), with a flight profile 89 consisting of repeated reciprocal straight tracks. Consistency between the reciprocal passes 90 is used to validate velocity measurements. Velocity observations are binned to 256 m or 91 500 m prior to analysis. 92

Sea surface temperature observations are collected with a Flir SC6700SLS longwave IR camera (1 m resolution) and Heitronics KT19.85 II infrared thermometer (50 m resolution) (see Melville et al., 2016; Lenain et al., 2023, for details).

Observations from two field campaigns are considered in this study. The first field campaign sampled across a cold filament approximately 70 nautical miles offshore of California, as part of the NASA S-MODE program (Farrar et al., 2020). This region is subsequently referred to as the "filament region" and is the focus of this study. These observations occurred on November 3, 2021 from 18:23 to 23:33 UTC while flying at approximately 500 m altitude and on November 5, 2021 from 22:40 to 23:00 UTC while flying at 940 m altitude (Figure 1A-C).

The higher altitude flight on November 5 enables collection of multiple data points in the cross-swath direction, resulting in a 1.5 km wide swath that is used to compute velocity gradients using central differences. The observations from November 5 are binned at 256 m prior to analysis.

The second field campaign collected observations across two counter-rotating eddies approximately 45 nautical miles offshore of San Diego on May 19, 2021 from 20:56 to 23:26 UTC (Lenain et al., 2023). This region is referred to as the "eddy region". Observations using a vessel mounted ADCP were collected under the long northwest-to-southeast leg of the DoppVis observations from May 19, 2021 10:00 to May 20, 2021 13:00 UTC.



Figure 1. Velocity observations situated in the large-scale context using satellite observations. (A) Satellite (MODIS Aqua) sea surface temperature in the filament region on November 4, 2021 at 21:05Z. Contours show sea surface height from AVISO. (B) DoppVis velocity across the sampled filament (black rectangle in panel A) is shown as vectors with sea surface temperature from the infrared thermometer. Two days of observations are shown, November 3 and November 5. The filament had shifted on November 5. (C) Vorticity computed from DoppVis in the black rectangle in panel B with velocity vectors. This section is shown in Fig. 4A,B. Velocity gradients in Fig. 2 and 4C are computed from the whole transect collected on November 5. (D) Kinetic energy spectral density as a function of along-track wavenumber from a 2 km resolution regional MITgcm model and two observational regions – the eddy region (May 2021) and the filament region (November 2021; panel B) – and two measurement platforms during May 2021 – DoppVis and a ship. The black line shows a k^{-2} spectral slope.

112 **2.2 Spectra**

¹¹³ We analyze both the kinetic energy spectrum $(\hat{E}(f))$ and the cross spectrum $(\hat{S}(f))$ ¹¹⁴ with 95% confidence intervals calculated following Bendat and Piersol (2011). Both the ¹¹⁵ kinetic energy spectra and the cross-spectrum between along-track and across-track ve-¹¹⁶ locity are computed using Welch's method with Hanning windows.

117 **3 Results**

```
118
```

3.1 Kinetic energy spectrum

The multi-scale nature of the flow is quantified using energy spectra, which can also 119 be used to make inferences about the dominant dynamics governing the flow (Callies & 120 Ferrari, 2013). The filament region is more energetic than the eddy region (Figure 1D), 121 with approximately twice the amount of energy at nearly all spatial scales sampled. The 122 kinetic energy spectra of the DoppVis observations have slopes that are approximately 123 k^{-2} (Figure 1D). The observed kinetic energy spectrum crossing the eddies has magni-124 tude and spectral slope similar to that of the spectra from currents (15 m depth) taken 125 with a vessel mounted acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) on a nearby transect 126 on the same day for 5-100 km scales. Differences between the spectra computed from 127

DoppVis and the ship are within uncertainty but could be due to vertical shear since the DoppVis observations are averages in the upper 2 m. Differences may also be attributable to aliasing in the observations from the ship, which took 30 hours to complete the transect while DoppVis took 50 minutes.

This analysis extends the observations to smaller spatial scales than have been ob-132 served previously. Notably, these scales are smaller than those resolved by state-of-the-133 art global and regional models. As an example, we show the kinetic energy spectrum from 134 a 2 km grid spacing MITgcm regional model of the California current system (Mazloff 135 et al., 2020) (Figure 1D, red line). This model is forced with ERA5 atmospheric state, 136 Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model + Navy Coupled Ocean Data Assimilation boundary 137 conditions, and both local and remote tides. The effective resolution of this model is 20 km 138 with the velocity spectrum falling off steeply below that scale due to grid scale dissipa-139 tion. Even at larger scales, both regions are more energetic than the 2 km grid spacing 140 ocean model of the same region (the eddy region is 5 times more energetic). The discrep-141 ancy between model and observations at lower wavenumbers is likely caused by an in-142 verse cascade of submesoscale energy energizing surface mesoscale features in ways that 143 are not represented in the model (Lévy et al., 2001; Mahadevan & Tandon, 2006) and 144 by biased observational sampling toward more energetic features. It is important to note 145 that only the larger end of submesoscale dynamics are resolved by 2 km models (Su et 146 al., 2018; Sinha et al., 2022). This is especially important to keep in mind when consid-147 ering cross-scale energy fluxes that may be modified by dynamics at small spatial scales. 148

The observed kinetic energy spectral slopes are consistent with previous observa-149 tions from this region: a comprehensive analysis of surface velocities measured from vessel-150 mounted ADCPs in the California Current region from 1993–2004 found that the kinetic 151 energy spectral slope in this region is approximately k^{-2} to $k^{-5/3}$ at scales of 10 to 200 km 152 (Chereskin et al., 2019). This is in contrast to the steeper spectral slope (k^{-3}) in more 153 energetic regions such as the Antarctic Circumpolar Current, which implies geostrophic 154 dynamics (Rocha et al., 2016). Modeling studies in the California Current System have 155 found the kinetic energy spectrum to be continuous from the mesoscale to submesoscale, 156 with a slope of approximately k^{-2} (Capet et al., 2008a). 157

A range of dynamics could result in the observed spectral slope including internal gravity waves (k^{-2}) , surface quasigeostrophy $(k^{-5/3})$, and fronts (k^{-2}) (Boyd, 1992; Lapeyre & Klein, 2006). The observations available in this study cannot sufficiently distinguish these spectral slopes, nor can we identify whether the observed slope has transitions in the submesoscale regime from current methods. We therefore rely on further analysis to infer the dynamics in this region.

164

3.2 Distributions of vorticity, divergence, and strain rate

One of the implications of a kinetic energy spectrum E(k) with a k^{-2} slope is that the velocity derivative spectrum V(k) is flat because the spectra are linked through the relationship $V(k) = k^2 E(k)$. The key velocity derivative quantities, divergence ($\delta = u_x + v_y$), vorticity ($\zeta = v_x - u_y$), and strain are related to each other through a system of coupled non-linear ordinary differential equations (c.f. Barkan et al., 2019). The strain is composed of shear strain ($\sigma_s = v_x + u_y$) and normal strain ($\sigma_n = u_x - v_y$).

In the observations that allow for computing gradient across the track, which are 171 only available for one track in the filament case (Figure 1B,C), we find that the vortic-172 ity is skewed positive (skewness 0.54, 90% confidence interval [0.49, 0.69]), and the di-173 vergence is skewed negative (skewness -0.081, 90% confidence interval [-0.17, 0.043]) (Fig-174 ure 2A,B), consistent with previous (shipboard) observations (Shcherbina et al., 2009; 175 Rudnick, 2001). This skewness can arise from conservation of potential vorticity at fronts. 176 Strain-driven frontogenesis at the sea surface, in the absence of dissipation, results in an 177 infinitely sharp front in finite time with ageostrophic flow that has skewed distributions 178 of divergence (negative) and vorticity (positive) (Hoskins & Bretherton, 1972; Barkan 179 et al., 2019). In addition, the dynamical feedbacks are such that large negative relative 180



Figure 2. Velocity gradients in the filament observed on October 5 displayed as PDFs of (A) vorticity (ζ), (B) divergence (δ) (C) shear strain (σ_s), and (F) normal strain (σ_n), all normalized by f, along with joint PDFs of vorticity and shear strain (D) and divergence and normal strain (F).

vorticity is typically unstable to symmetric and centrifugal instabilities but positive rel-181 ative vorticity stabilizes the flow to these instabilities, and therefore a skewed distribu-182 tion develops (Rudnick, 2001; Buckingham et al., 2016). Compared with anticyclonic fronts, 183 cyclonic fronts also progress more slowly to singularities during frontogenesis, which could 184 result in longer lived cyclonic fronts (Shakespeare, 2016). However, it is notable that strain-185 driven frontogenesis can suppress the growth of symmetric instability (Thomas, 2012). 186 In boundary layers, negative potential vorticity can arise from frictional and atmospheric 187 forcing, which can trigger symmetric instability. 188

In the filament observations studied here, vorticity is strongly correlated with shear 189 strain (Figure 2D). This arises not because of direct forcing of vorticity by shear strain 190 but instead because $\sigma_s \approx u_y \approx -\zeta$ in geographic coordinates (x points eastward and 191 y points northward) over much of the sampled domain (but $\zeta \approx v_x$ at the front shown 192 in Figure 4). Shear strain and vorticity are correlated due to the relative stability of cy-193 clonic vorticity at straight fronts (Buckingham et al., 2021). This provides an explana-194 tion for the strain–vorticity relationship that has been observed in high-resolution sim-195 ulations (Balwada et al., 2021). However, there is not a strong correlation between di-196 vergence and normal strain $(\sigma_n = u_x - v_y)$; while non-zero vorticity can be maintained 197 in an adiabatic system in the absence of divergence and vertical motion, a similar bal-198 ance does not exist for divergence (Figure 2E). 199

3.3 Non-linear interactions

200

At the submesoscale (Ro~ $\mathcal{O}(1)$), the flow becomes more fully three dimensional (velocity divergence $\delta \sim U/L$). A key dynamical transitions at the submesoscale is that temporal changes in velocity gradient quantities (vorticity, divergence, and strain) are coupled such that non-linear feedbacks become important (Barkan et al., 2019). For example, the rate of change of vorticity in an adiabatic system is

$$\frac{D\zeta}{Dt} = -f\delta - \delta\zeta - w_x v_z + w_y u_z. \tag{1}$$

Only the first term, which does not involve a feedback, is present in a quasigeostrophic system. In addition, at the submesoscale the inertial term in the equations of motion (u $\nabla u \sim U^2/L$) is of the same order as the Coriolis term ($uf \sim Uf$), facilitating crossscale kinetic energy transfers.

205

3.3.1 Interactions between rotational and divergent flow

The approximately k^{-2} spectral slope in both the filament and eddy regions is informative but inconclusive about the about the dominant dynamics operating in these regions. The nearly uniform slope across the observed spatial scales leaves open questions about the scales at which a transition to submesoscale dynamics may occur.

The submesoscale feedback between vorticity and divergence (equation 1) results in a correlation between the geostrophically balanced rotational (streamfunction) flow and the divergent (potential) component of the velocity. We diagnose when the streamfunction and potential become correlated using the cross spectrum between the alongtrack (u) and cross track (v) velocity components.

When the streamfunction and potential are uncorrelated, as is typically true at the 215 mesoscale and larger, the cross spectrum of the u and v velocity components is a super-216 position of the spectra of the streamfunction and velocity potential. In this case, since 217 spectra are real, the cross spectrum is real (Bühler et al., 2017). This is a key assump-218 tion of the 'wave-vortex' decomposition introduced by Bühler et al. (2014). However, 219 when the rotational and divergent flow components interact, the cross spectrum between 220 the along-track and across-track velocity (S_{uv}) is complex. We are therefore able to di-221 agnose the spatial scale where a shift to submesoscale dynamics occurs as the scale at 222 which the cross spectrum becomes complex. The cross spectral phase should only be in-223 terpreted in this manner if the coherence, which is the normalized cross spectrum be-224 tween the along-track and across track velocity, is significant. Coherence is related to flow 225 anisotropy because $\mathbb{E}(uv) = 0$ for isotropic flows, but it is not a quantitative measure 226 of anisotropy. For isotropic flows, the Bühler et al. (2014) decomposition may be applied 227 even if the streamfunction and potential are correlated (Callies et al., 2016). 228

The squared coherence has contrasting dependence on spatial scale in the two re-229 gions studied here (Figure 3A). In the eddy region (blue lines in Figure 3), the squared 230 coherence is large at the largest spatial scales sampled ($\sim 100 \text{ km}$) and decreases steadily 231 to 10 km scales after which it flattens out, but remains significantly different from zero. 232 In the filament region (green lines), the squared coherence is also large at the largest spa-233 tial scales sampled (~ 10 km), decreases at scales larger than 6 km, and then increases 234 again toward the smallest spatial scales sampled (~ 1 km). Fronts and filaments are ex-235 pected to be anisotropic at the scale of the feature, as is observed. In all observations 236 considered here, the coherence is large enough to be statistically significant, allowing for 237 analysis of the cross-spectral phase. The only exception is in the eddy region between 238 0.1 and 0.6 cpkm where the coherence falls below the significance threshold, suggesting 239 that the eddy region is relatively isotropic at mesoscale. 240

The cross-spectral phase summarizes the relationship between the real and imag-241 inary parts of the cross spectrum. When the cross spectrum is purely real, the phase is 242 0° or 180° ; when it is purely imaginary, the phase is $\pm 90^{\circ}$. We find abrupt transitions 243 at a scale slightly smaller than 10 km in the eddy region and 6 km in the filament re-244 gion, where the imaginary part of the cross spectrum becomes larger than the real part 245 (Figure 3B). This 6 km spatial scale is the same scale where the coherence increases in 246 the filament region (suggesting increased anisotropy), providing consistent evidence of 247 a change to increasingly non-linear frontal dynamics at these scales. By contrast, in a 248 surface quasigeostrophic model, which neglects ageostrophic advection, the real part of 249 the cross spectrum dominates at all spatial scales (Figure S3). During the eddy obser-250 vations, the mixed-layer depth was 40-55 m with some regions as shallow as 15 m. In 251 contrast, for the filament observations, the mixed-layer depth was approximately 35 m 252 or shallower and the stratification was approximately 3×10^{-5} s⁻². Therefore, the mixed-253 layer deformation radius was 2–4 km for these locations, implying that the fastest grow-254 ing baroclinic mode is around 8–24 km (Dong et al., 2020). Thus, the transition to non-255



Figure 3. Flow anisotropy and non-linearity revealed by analysis of velocity cross spectrum. (A) Squared coherence as a function of wavenumber. The gray lines show the significance threshold (dashed and dot dash show filament and eddies, respectively) and the shading shows standard deviation. (B) Cross-spectrum phase. The thin lines in show the squared coherence computed from two long transects in the eddy region with 80 km windows while the thick lines show the squared coherence computed from the two long sections and four shorter sections (which crossed the eddy nearly perpendicularly, SI Fig. S1) using 10 km windows.

linear ageostrophic dynamics observed here occurs in the approximate range of the scaleof mixed-layer baroclinic instability.

There are a number of mechanisms that could be responsible for the interaction between rotational and divergent velocity. In the filament case, the interaction of the ageostrophic frontal divergence and larger scale geostrophic flow is likely the dominant mechanism. Here we find that the shift to a mostly imaginary cross spectrum is localized in the regions of largest velocity gradient (Figure S4). The eddy case likely encompasses a larger range of dynamics, including near-inertial oscillations modified by the vorticity of the observed features, frontal dynamics, and submesoscale vortices.

265

3.3.2 Spectral energy transfers

The distribution of kinetic energy across spatial scales reflects dynamics that are local in wavenumber, but importantly also reflects energy transfers across scales. At the submesoscale, major open questions remain regarding the direction of the energy cascade, the mechanisms that lead to a forward energy, and the rate of the forward energy cascade (Müller et al., 2005; McWilliams, 2016). Forward energy flux precedes dissipation at small spatial scales by turbulent processes.

The energy transfer across scales can be quantified using coarse graining (Germano, 1992; Eyink, 2005; Aluie et al., 2018). The kinetic energy flux is defined here as

$$\Pi = -(\tau_{uv}(u_y + v_x) + \tau_{uu}u_x + \tau_{vv}v_y) \tag{2}$$

where $\tau_{ab} = \overline{ab} - \overline{a} \ \overline{b}$ and $\overline{\cdot}$ is a top hat filter. Positive (negative) values indicate a flux of energy toward smaller (larger) spatial scales. We use velocity observed on a 256 m grid and a top hat filter with a scale of 1 km to compute an instantaneous energy flux across the observed transect. Error is estimated using a bootstrapped confidence intervals and a velocity error of 0.05 m s⁻¹ (Lenain et al., 2023).

In the frontal regions in this flow, there is a strong forward energy flux localized in a 1 km region at the frontal outcrop where there is also a peak in frontogenesis (Figure 4A,B). The energy flux to smaller spatial scales is driven by the first term in equation 2 (Figure S5). This term involves the shear strain multiplied by the scale-dependent



Figure 4. Spatial distribution of kinetic energy flux and frontogenesis. (A) Kinetic energy flux across 1 km and buoyancy frontogenesis (shading shows the bootstrapped 95% confidence interval) and vorticity, divergence, and strain ($\alpha = \sqrt{\sigma_n^2 + \sigma_s^2}$) at one of the fronts on the sampled transect. (B) Sea surface temperature measured from long wave infrared. Velocity is shown with vectors. (C) Joint probability density function of kinetic energy flux from the whole transect and shear strain.

covariance between the along track and cross track velocity. In fact, over the entire 60 km
 section, there is a strong correlation between the shear strain and the kinetic energy flux
 (Figure 4C).

The influence of the shear strain on the kinetic energy flux is modulated by the covariance between the u and v velocity components (or, equivalently, the anistropy of the flow), which becomes large below scales of 6 km in this filament region (Figure 3A). Barotropic shear instabilities extract kinetic energy from sheared mean flows when smaller scale features lean into the shear, resulting in a forward energy cascade.

The observed kinetic energy flux is patchy (Figure 4C), with the largest flux con-289 centrated in small spatial scales even within the 60 km filament region observed here. 290 Within the larger filament region (the 60 km sampling region), the kinetic energy flux 291 varies over three orders of magnitude (Figure 4C). The typical kinetic energy flux across 292 1 km in the filament region is $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-3})$. This rate is about an order of magnitude 293 larger than the kinetic energy flux obtained from mooring based observations using a fil-294 ter scale of 5 days (Naveira Garabato et al., 2022) and in a modeling study at 500 m spa-295 tial resolution (Srinivasan et al., 2023). Given that we present direct observations of the 296 kinetic energy flux terms, this suggests that the magnitude of instantaneous kinetic en-297 ergy flux has been underestimated by previous modeling and observational work. 298

²⁹⁹ 4 Discussion and conclusion

The airborne observations presented here reveal a transition to non-linear submesoscale dynamics at scales of 6–10 km with implications for kinetic energy flux. The synoptic sampling from submesoscale to mesoscale allows us to extend an observational kinetic energy spectrum to scales below 1 km. Dense filaments such as the one observed here have an important role in the energetics of upwelling systems with submesoscale dynamics influencing the fate of upwelled waters.

We demonstrate that although there is not a clear change in the kinetic energy spectral slope, there is a transition in the dynamics to non-linear interactions that characterize submesoscales at scales of $\mathcal{O}(1 \text{ km})$. In particular, this transition is characterized by the interaction between divergent and rotational velocity components. This transition would not occur with surface quasigeostrophic dynamics and we attribute it instead to a dominance of ageostrophic dynamics in the observations.

The observed transition to non-linearity has important implications for observa-312 tions of ocean velocity from remote sensing. For example, the SWOT mission aims to 313 infer mesoscale to submesoscale velocities through observation of sea surface height. These 314 velocities are computed through geostrophic balance, which only accounts for the rota-315 tional component of the flow. Not only do we find that a significant amount of the ki-316 netic energy is likely in the divergent component of the flow at scales below 10 km in this 317 region — and potentially at larger scales in more energetic regions (Callies et al., 2015) 318 — but also that the rotational and divergent flows interact such that filtering of the di-319 vergent processes (e.g. waves) will not result in recovering the rotational component of 320 the flow. 321

These observations are also the first direct observations of *snapshots* of kinetic en-322 ergy flux and frontogenesis in the ocean. This allows us to investigate the relationships 323 between the kinetic energy flux and hydrographic features. We find that kinetic energy 324 flux is patchy but can be large $(10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-3})$ at submesoscale fronts. The patchiness of 325 kinetic energy flux has important implications for resolving the dynamics that contribute 326 to an energy cascade. Due to the difficulty resolving scales ranging from mesoscale strain-327 ing to turbulent dissipation in models, these observations — where that challenge is ob-328 servationally addressed using a novel remote sensing platform — are particularly valu-329 able. These aircraft measurements provide a precursor to what might be possible from 330 future satellite-based radar snapshots from platforms such as Harmony and Seastar (Gommenginger 331 et al., 2019; López-Dekker et al., 2019). In these observations, kinetic energy is trans-332 ferred both downscale and upscale from 1 km. 333

Recent modeling work has suggested that resolving frontogenesis is essential to ac-334 curate representation of submesoscale kinetic energy transfers (Naveira Garabato et al., 335 2022; Srinivasan et al., 2023). The observations analyzed here demonstrate a large for-336 ward energy transfer localized at fronts, although not exclusively during active large-scale 337 frontogenesis. Recent work in the Gulf of Mexico, another region with an active subme-338 soscale, has hinted that a forward cascade of kinetic energy occurs at scales of 500 m-339 5 km (Balwada et al., 2022) in observations (with smaller scales during the summer) and 340 at scales of 5 km in models (Srinivasan et al., 2023). 341

The modeling study of Sullivan and McWilliams (2018), which simulated a dense 342 filament, also found an important role for the horizontal Reynolds stress term $(u'v'v_x)$ 343 during the frontal arrest phase of a dense filament, which is consistent with our observation that the shear strain term dominated kinetic energy flux. This relationship may 345 arise from certain aspects of the feature studied here and may not generalize to all fronts. 346 For example, Srinivasan et al. (2023) analyzed kinetic energy fluxes in 500 m and 2 km 347 resolution ocean models, which resolve dynamics at larger scales than those that are the 348 focus of our study. They find an equipartition between strain-driven and convergence-349 driven forward energy cascade at submesoscale scales (Srinivasan et al., 2023). While 350 we observe that the forward energy transfer is strain-driven in our observations, it is im-351 portant to note that we have only one snapshot of a filament that appears to be partially 352 restratifying, so this does not invalidate the role of convergence in forward energy flux. 353

These results suggest an out-sized role for fronts and filaments as hotspots of sur-354 face kinetic energy flux. Barotropic energy transfer is enabled by interactions between 355 the rotational and divergent components of the flow field at submesoscale fronts. Fronts 356 are spatially inhomogeneously distributed in the ocean and vary seasonally (Drushka et 357 al., 2019; Mauzole et al., 2020), but the distributions of fronts are distinct from the dis-358 tributions of mesoscale kinetic energy (Busecke & Abernathey, 2019). Surface kinetic en-359 ergy dissipation may similarly vary substantially in space and time, but understanding 360 how it varies relies on increased mechanistic understanding of the energetics of subme-361 soscale features. Disentangling these would require more observations to establish the 362 effect of particular submesoscale features on the regional statistics. 363

364 Acknowledgments

- We would like to thank Nick Statom for data collection and Alex Andriatis for ship ob-
- ³⁶⁶ servations. We would like to acknowledge Matthew Mazloff, Peter Franks, Oliver Buh-
- ler, Roy Barkan, Jacob Wenegrat, and the S-MODE science team, and particularly J.
- Thomas Farrar, for discussions about this work and Dhruv Balwada, Han Wang, and an
- anonymous reviewer for constructive comments on the manuscript. This work was funded
- by a Scripps Institutional Postdoctoral fellowship and by the Physical Oceanography pro-
- grams at ONR (Grant N00014-19-1-2635), and NASA (Grants 80NSSC19K1688, 80NSSC20K1136,
- and 80NSSC21K1822).

373 Open research

374

393

394

All presented data are available at UCSD Library Digital Collection, https://doi.org/10.6075/J0F76CRK.

375 References

- Aluie, H., Hecht, M., & Vallis, G. K. (2018, February). Mapping the Energy Cascade in the North Atlantic Ocean: The Coarse-Graining Approach. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 48(2), 225–244. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-17-0100.1
- Balwada, D., Xiao, Q., Smith, S., Abernathey, R., & Gray, A. R. (2021, September). Vertical Fluxes Conditioned on Vorticity and Strain Reveal Submesoscale
 Ventilation. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 51(9), 2883–2901. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-21-0016.1
- Balwada, D., Xie, J.-H., Marino, R., & Feraco, F. (2022, October). Direct observa tional evidence of an oceanic dual kinetic energy cascade and its seasonality.
 Science Advances, 8(41), eabq2566. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abq2566
- Barkan, R., Molemaker, M. J., Srinivasan, K., McWilliams, J. C., & D'Asaro, E. A.
 (2019). The role of horizontal divergence in submesoscale frontogenesis. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 49(6), 1593–1618.
- Barkan, R., Winters, K. B., & Smith, S. G. L. (2015, January). Energy Cascades
 and Loss of Balance in a Reentrant Channel Forced by Wind Stress and Buoy ancy Fluxes. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 45(1), 272–293. doi:
 10.1175/JPO-D-14-0068.1
 - Bendat, J. S., & Piersol, A. G. (2011). Random Data: Analysis and Measurement Procedures. John Wiley & Sons.
- Boyd, J. P. (1992, January). The Energy Spectrum of Fronts: Time Evolution of
 Shocks in Burgers Equation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 49(2), 128–
 doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(0128:TESOFT)2.0.CO;2
- Buckingham, C. E., Gula, J., & Carton, X. (2021). The role of curvature in modifying frontal instabilities. Part I: Review of theory and presentation of a nondimensional instability criterion. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 51(2), 299–315.
- ⁴⁰² Buckingham, C. E., Naveira Garabato, A. C., Thompson, A. F., Brannigan, L.,
- Lazar, A., Marshall, D. P., ... Belcher, S. E. (2016). Seasonality of submesoscale flows in the ocean surface boundary layer. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(5), 2118–2126. doi: 10.1002/2016GL068009
- Busecke, J. J. M., & Abernathey, R. P. (2019, January). Ocean mesoscale mixing
 linked to climate variability. *Science Advances*, 5(1), eaav5014. doi: 10.1126/
 sciadv.aav5014
- Bühler, O., Callies, J., & Ferrari, R. (2014, October). Wave-vortex decomposition of
 one-dimensional ship-track data. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 756, 1007–1026.
 doi: 10.1017/jfm.2014.488
- Bühler, O., Kuang, M., & Tabak, E. G. (2017, March). Anisotropic Helmholtz and
 wave-vortex decomposition of one-dimensional spectra. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 815, 361–387. doi: 10.1017/jfm.2017.57

(2013). Interpreting energy and tracer spectra of upper-Callies, J., & Ferrari, R. 415 ocean turbulence in the submesoscale range (1–200 km). Journal of Physical 416 Oceanography, 43(11), 2456–2474. 417 Callies, J., Ferrari, R., Klymak, J. M., & Gula, J. (2015).Seasonality in subme-418 soscale turbulence. Nature communications, 6(1), 1–8. 419 Callies, J., Flierl, G., Ferrari, R., & Fox-Kemper, B. (2016). The role of mixed-layer 420 instabilities in submesoscale turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 788, 5-421 41. 422 Capet, X., McWilliams, J. C., Molemaker, M. J., & Shchepetkin, A. (2008a). 423 Mesoscale to submesoscale transition in the California Current System. Part 424 I: Flow structure, eddy flux, and observational tests. Journal of Physical 425 Oceanography, 38(1), 29-43. 426 Capet, X., McWilliams, J. C., Molemaker, M. J., & Shchepetkin, A. F. (2008b)427 Mesoscale to Submesoscale Transition in the California Current October). 428 System. Part III: Energy Balance and Flux. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 429 38(10), 2256–2269. doi: 10.1175/2008JPO3810.1 430 Chereskin, T. K., Rocha, C. B., Gille, S. T., Menemenlis, D., & Passaro, M. (2019). 431 Characterizing the Transition From Balanced to Unbalanced Motions in the 432 Southern California Current. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 433 doi: 10.1029/2018JC014583 124(3), 2088-2109.434 Dong, J., Fox-Kemper, B., Zhang, H., & Dong, C. (2020, September).The Scale 435 of Submesoscale Baroclinic Instability Globally. Journal of Physical Oceanogra-436 phy, 50(9), 2649-2667.doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-20-0043.1 437 Drushka, K., Asher, W. E., Sprintall, J., Gille, S. T., & Hoang, C. (2019, July). 438 Global Patterns of Submesoscale Surface Salinity Variability. Journal of Physi-439 cal Oceanography, 49(7), 1669–1685. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-19-0018.1 440 Evink, G. L. (2005, July). Locality of turbulent cascades. Physica D: Nonlinear Phe-441 nomena, 207(1), 91–116. doi: 10.1016/j.physd.2005.05.018 442 Farrar, J. T., D'Asaro, E., Rodriguez, E., Shcherbina, A., Czech, E., Matthias, 443 P., ... Jenkins, R. (2020, September).S-MODE: The Sub-Mesoscale 444 Ocean Dynamics Experiment. In IGARSS 2020 - 2020 IEEE Interna-445 tional Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (pp. 3533–3536). doi: 446 10.1109/IGARSS39084.2020.9323112 447 Ferrari, R., & Wunsch, C. (2009).Ocean Circulation Kinetic Energy: Reservoirs, 448 Sources, and Sinks. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, 41(1), 253–282. doi: 449 10.1146/annurev.fluid.40.111406.102139 450 Freilich, M., Flierl, G., & Mahadevan, A. (2022). Diversity of Growth Rates Maxi-451 mizes Phytoplankton Productivity in an Eddying Ocean. Geophysical Research 452 Letters, 49(3), e2021GL096180. doi: 10.1029/2021GL096180 453 Germano, M. (1992, May). Turbulence: the filtering approach. Journal of Fluid Me-454 *chanics*, 238, 325–336. doi: 10.1017/S0022112092001733 455 Gommenginger, C., Chapron, B., Hogg, A., Buckingham, C., Fox-Kemper, B., Eriks-456 son, L., ... Burbidge, G. (2019). SEASTAR: A Mission to Study Ocean Sub-457 mesoscale Dynamics and Small-Scale Atmosphere-Ocean Processes in Coastal, 458 Shelf and Polar Seas. Frontiers in Marine Science, 6. 459 Hoskins, B. J., & Bretherton, F. P. (1972).Atmospheric frontogenesis models: 460 Mathematical formulation and solution. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 461 29(1), 11-37.462 Klein, P., & Lapeyre, G. (2009). The Oceanic Vertical Pump Induced by Mesoscale 463 and Submesoscale Turbulence. Annual Review of Marine Science, 1(1), 351-464 375.465 Lapeyre, G., & Klein, P. (2006). Impact of the small-scale elongated filaments on 466 the oceanic vertical pump. Journal of Marine Research, 64(6), 835–851. 467 Lenain, L., Smeltzer, B. K., Pizzo, N., Freilich, M., Colosi, L., Ellingsen, S., ... 468 Statom, N. (2023). Airborne observations of surface winds, waves and currents 469

470	from meso to submesoscales. <i>submitted</i> .
471	Lévy, M., Klein, P., & Treguier, AM. (2001). Impact of sub-mesoscale physics on
472	production and subduction of phytoplankton in an oligotrophic regime. <i>Jour-</i>
473	nal of Marine Research, 59(4), 535–565.
474	López-Dekker P. Rott H. Prats-Iraola P. Chapron B. Scipal K. & Witte E. D.
474	(2010 July) Harmony: an Earth Explorer 10 Mission Candidate to Observe
475	Land Ico and Ocean Surface Dynamics In ICARSS 2010 2010 IEEE In
476	temational Consistence and Permete Semina Summerium (pp. 2201 2204) doi:
477	10 1100/ICADES 2010 2207022
478	10.1109/IGAR55.2019.889/983
479	Mahadevan, A. (2016). The impact of submesoscale physics on primary productivity
480	of plankton. Annual Review of Marine Science, 8, 161–184.
481	Mahadevan, A., & Tandon, A. (2006). An analysis of mechanisms for submesoscale
482	vertical motion at ocean fronts. Ocean Modelling, 14(3-4), 241–256.
483	Mauzole, Y. L., Torres, H. S., & Fu, LL. (2020). Patterns and Dynamics of SST
484	Fronts in the California Current System. Journal of Geophysical Research:
485	Oceans, 125(2), e2019JC015499. doi: 10.1029/2019JC015499
486	Mazloff, M. R., Cornuelle, B., Gille, S. T., & Wang, J. (2020). The Importance of
487	Remote Forcing for Regional Modeling of Internal Waves. Journal of Geophysi-
488	cal Research: Oceans, 125(2), e2019JC015623, doi: 10.1029/2019JC015623
490	McWilliams I C (2016) Submesoscale currents in the ocean $Proceedings$ of the
409	Royal Society A: Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences (79(2189))
490	20160117
491	Mahville W.K. Longin, L. Couron, D. D. Kohny, M. Kleigel, I.D. Linder, D.E. &
492	Mervine, W. K., Lenam, L., Cayan, D. R., Kanru, M., Kleissi, J. P., Linden, P. F., &
493	Statom, N. M. (2010, June). The Modular Aerial Sensing System. Jour-
494	nai of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, $33(6)$, 1109–1184. doi:
495	10.1175/JTECH-D-15-0067.1
496	Muller, P., McWilliams, J. C., & Molemaker, M. J. (2005). Routes to dissipation in
497	the ocean: The 2D/3D turbulence conundrum. In Marine turbulence: theories,
498	observations and models (pp. 397–405). Cambridge, Uk: Cambridge University
499	Press.
500	Naveira Garabato, A. C., Yu, X., Callies, J., Barkan, R., Polzin, K. L., Frajka-
501	Williams, E. E., Griffies, S. M. (2022, January). Kinetic Energy Trans-
502	fers between Mesoscale and Submesoscale Motions in the Open Ocean's
503	Upper Layers. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 52(1), 75–97. doi:
504	10.1175/JPO-D-21-0099.1
505	Qiu, B., Chen, S., Klein, P., Sasaki, H., & Sasai, Y. (2014). Seasonal mesoscale and
506	submesoscale eddy variability along the North Pacific Subtropical Countercur-
507	rent. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 44(12), 3079–3098.
508	Rocha C B Chereskin T K Gille S T & Menemenlis D (2016) Mesoscale
500	to submesoscale wavenumber spectra in Drake Passage Journal of Physical
510	$Oceanography \ /6(2) \ 601-620$
510	Budnick D L (2001) On the skewness of vorticity in the upper ocean <i>Ceonhysical</i>
511	$R_{account}$ Letters $\frac{\partial g(10)}{\partial 1}$ 2045 2048 doi: 10.1020/2000CU.012965
512	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Condense } \mathbf{D} (10), 2040-2040, \text{doi: } 10.1029/2000 \text{GL012200} \end{array}$
513	Sandery, P. A., & Sakov, P. (2017, November). Ocean forecasting of mesoscale lea-
514	tures can deteriorate by increasing model resolution towards the submesoscale. Nature $(2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,$
515	Nature Communications, $\delta(1)$, 1500. doi: 10.1038/S41407-017-01595-0
516	Schubert, R., Gula, J., Greatbatch, R. J., Baschek, B., & Biastoch, A. (2020,
517	September). The Submesoscale Kinetic Energy Cascade: Mesoscale Absorption
518	of Submesoscale Mixed Layer Eddies and Frontal Downscale Fluxes. Journal of
519	<i>Physical Oceanography</i> , 50(9), 2573–2589. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-19-0311.1
520	Shakespeare, C. J. (2016, October). Curved Density Fronts: Cyclogeostrophic Ad-
521	justment and Frontogenesis. Journal of Physical Oceanography, $46(10)$, $3193-$
522	3207. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-16-0137.1
523	Shcherbina, A. Y., Gregg, M. C., Alford, M. H., & Harcourt, R. R. (2009, Novem-
524	ber). Characterizing Thermohaline Intrusions in the North Pacific Subtropical
	-

525	Frontal Zone. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 39(11), 2735–2756. doi:
526	10.1175/2009JPO4190.1
527	Sinha, A., Callies, J., & Menemenlis, D. (2022, December). Do Submesoscales Affect
528	the Large-Scale Structure of the Upper Ocean? Journal of Physical Oceanogra-
529	phy, -1 (aop). doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-22-0129.1
530	Srinivasan, K., Barkan, R., & McWilliams, J. C. (2023). A Forward Energy Flux
531	at Submesoscales Driven by Frontogenesis. Journal of Physical Oceanography,
532	53(1), 287-305. doi: $10.1175/JPO-D-22-0001.1$
533	Strobach, E., Klein, P., Molod, A., Fahad, A. A., Trayanov, A., Menemenlis, D., &
534	Torres, H. (2022). Local Air-Sea Interactions at Ocean Mesoscale and Subme-
535	soscale in a Western Boundary Current. Geophysical Research Letters, $49(7)$,
536	$e^{2021}GL097003$. doi: $10.1029/2021GL097003$
537	Su, Z., Wang, J., Klein, P., Thompson, A. F., & Menemenlis, D. (2018). Ocean sub-
538	mesoscales as a key component of the global heat budget. Nature communica-
539	$tions, \ 9(1), \ 775.$
540	Sullivan, P. P., & McWilliams, J. C. (2018, February). Frontogenesis and frontal ar-
541	rest of a dense filament in the oceanic surface boundary layer. Journal of Fluid
542	Mechanics, 837, 341–380. doi: 10.1017/jfm.2017.833
543	Thomas, L. N. (2012). On the effects of frontogenetic strain on symmetric instability

and inertia-gravity waves. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 711, 620–640.

Supporting Information for "Non-linear processes characterize the transition to submesoscale dynamics in observations of a dense filament"

Mara Freilich¹, Luc Lenain¹, Sarah T. Gille¹

¹Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Contents of this file

- 1. Definitions
- 2. Cross spectrum, coherence, and phase
- 3. Surface quasigeostrophic model
- 4. Vertical kinetic energy flux terms
- 5. Figures S1 to S3

1. Definitions

The velocity components can be written in terms of the sum of the velocity streamfunc-

tion (ψ) and velocity potential (ϕ)

$u = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}$	(1)
$v = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}.$	(2)

The velocity potential is divergent while the streamfunction is rotational.

2. Cross spectrum, coherence, and phase

The cross spectrum $(\hat{S}(f))$ is defined as

$$\hat{S}_{uv}(f) = \frac{\langle \hat{u}^* \hat{v} \rangle}{T},\tag{3}$$

where \hat{u} is the Fourier transform of the *u* velocity, and * indicates the complex conjugate (Bendat & Piersol, 2011). The angle brackets indicate averages over ν realizations. *u* is the along-track velocity and *v* is the cross-track velocity. The squared coherence is given by

$$\gamma_{uv}^2(f) = \frac{|\hat{S}_{uv}(f)|^2}{\hat{S}_u(f)\hat{S}_v(f)}.$$
(4)

 $\hat{S}(f)=\hat{C}(f)+i\hat{Q}(f)$ is complex. The phase is given by

$$\tan(\phi_{uv}(f)) = \left(\frac{-\hat{Q}(f)}{\hat{C}(f)}\right).$$
(5)

The 95% percent confidence interval of the kinetic energy spectrum is estimated using a standard method by assuming that the ratio of estimated to true spectrum has a χ^2 distribution with expectation ν where ν is the number of segments. The significance of the estimated coherence is assessed using two methods. The 95% significance level is computed as $\sqrt{1 - \alpha^{1/(\nu-1)}}$ where $\alpha = 0.05$. The standard deviation of the coherence is calculated as

$$std_{\gamma_{uv}^2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}(1 - \gamma_{uv}^2)}{|\gamma_{uv}|\sqrt{2\nu}}$$
(6)

The standard error of the phase spectrum is calculated as

$$std_{\phi_{uv}} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \gamma_{uv}^2}}{|\gamma_{uv}|\sqrt{2\nu}}$$
May 30, 2023, 3:46am
(7)

3. Surface quasigeostrophic model

A surface quasigeostrophic model (SQG) is used to validate the dynamical interpretation of the cross spectrum as representing contributions from ageostrophic advection. The surface quasigeostrophic model describes a flow field where the interior potential vorticity is zero and the full 3D dynamics are described by the 2D surface flow field. This is a suitable null model for this analysis because it is the simplest model that includes surface fronts but not ageostrophic advection. In this model, the interior potential vorticity is zero

$$\nabla^2 \psi + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{f_0^2}{N_0^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \right) = 0, \tag{8}$$

where ψ is a streamfunction, f_0 is the Coriolis frequency, and N_0 is the buoyancy frequency. f_0 and N_0 are both constant. At depth (as $z \to -\infty$), $\psi = 0$. Surface density gradients are advected by and feedback on the streamfunction ψ

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + J(\psi, b) = 0. \tag{9}$$

The surface buoyancy gradients are related to the streamfunction through the hydrostatic relationship $b = \psi_z$. We can solve equation 8 for the streamfunction in Fourier space and obtain

$$\hat{\psi} = \frac{f_0}{N_0} \frac{1}{\kappa} \hat{b} \tag{10}$$

We initialize PyQG (Abernathey et al., 2022), a Python implementation of a surface quasigeostrophic model with two counter-rotating eddies. These eddies evolve to form filaments, but the net kinetic energy flux of the resolved dynamics is always upscale (Capet et al., 2008). A cross spectrum of the u and v velocity components in this model

shows that the cross spectrum is mostly real, particularly at the smallest wavenumbers, in contrast to the observed patterns (Figure S3).

4. Vertical kinetic energy flux terms

While typically only the horizontal terms are considered in spectral kinetic energy fluxes (Aluie et al., 2018; Balwada et al., 2022; Srinivasan et al., 2023), these observations allow us to diagnose kinetic energy fluxes that are associated with the vertical shear. The full expression for the kinetic energy flux is

$$\Pi_a = -\underbrace{\left(\tau_{uv}(u_y + v_x) + \tau_{uu}u_x + \tau_{vv}v_y\right)}_{\Pi_h} - \underbrace{\left(\tau_{uw}u_z + \tau_{vw}v_z\right)}_{\Pi_v} - \underbrace{\left(\tau_{ww}w_z\right)}_{\Pi_{ww}} \tag{11}$$

The first term Π_h is shown in the main text. The second term, Π_v , is associated with baroclinicity of the flow. Here we diagnose this term using the velocity and sea surface temperature observations. The third term, Π_w , cannot be diagnosed with the available observations, but it is expected to be small. We compute Π_v by calculating the vertical velocity as $w = \delta \times h$ where δ is the surface divergence and h is the integration depth. Here, the integration depth is 1 meter, which is the approximate depth over which the surface velocity observations have been averaged (Lenain et al., 2023). The shear terms are computed from thermal wind balance. However, given that only sea surface temperature observations are available, we convert sea surface temperature to density using thermosalinograph observations from a ship that was nearby. The observed fronts are partially salinity compensated so the density is computed from temperature using a relationship within the observed temperature range. We find that Π_v peaks at the front, where δ is large, and is negative while Π_h is positive (Figure S6). However, Π_v is still at least an order of magnitude smaller than Π_h .

Abernathey, R., rochanotes, Ross, A., Jansen, M., Li, Z., Poulin, F. J., ... Tobias (2022). pyqg/pyqg: v0.7.2. Zenodo. Retrieved 2023-03-17, from https://zenodo .org/record/6563667 doi: 10.5281/zenodo.6563667

:

- Aluie, H., Hecht, M., & Vallis, G. K. (2018). Mapping the Energy Cascade in the North Atlantic Ocean: The Coarse-Graining Approach. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 48(2), 225-244. Retrieved 2022-08-23, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/ view/journals/phoc/48/2/jpo-d-17-0100.1.xml (Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/ JPO-D-17-0100.1
- Balwada, D., Xie, J.-H., Marino, R., & Feraco, F. (2022). Direct observational evidence of an oceanic dual kinetic energy cascade and its seasonality. Science Advances, 8(41), eabq2566. Retrieved 2023-03-20, from https://www.science.org/doi/full/10.1126/sciadv.abq2566 (Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science) doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abq2566
- Bendat, J. S., & Piersol, A. G. (2011). Random Data: Analysis and Measurement Procedures. John Wiley & Sons.
- Capet, X., Klein, P., Hua, B. L., Lapeyre, G., & Mcwilliams, J. C. (2008). Surface kinetic energy transfer in surface quasi-geostrophic flows. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 604, 165–174. Retrieved 2023-03-17, from https:// www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-fluid-mechanics/article/ surface-kinetic-energy-transfer-in-surface-quasigeostrophic-flows/

5AEDA38EF14C0C33AA1AC073BCF51087 (Publisher: Cambridge University Press) doi: 10.1017/S0022112008001110

:

- Lenain, L., Smeltzer, B. K., Pizzo, N., Freilich, M., Colosi, L., Ellingsen, S. , ... Statom, N. (2023). Airborne Remote Sensing of Upper-Ocean and Surface Properties, Currents and Their Gradients From Meso to Submesoscales. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 50(8), e2022GL102468. Retrieved 2023-05-22, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022GL102468 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2022GL102468) doi: 10.1029/ 2022GL102468
- Srinivasan, K., Barkan, R., & McWilliams, J. C. (2023). A Forward Energy Flux at Submesoscales Driven by Frontogenesis. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 53(1), 287–305. Retrieved 2023-03-20, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/53/1/JPO-D-22-0001.1.xml (Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-22-0001.1



:

Figure S1. DoppVis velocity across the eddy region as vectors with sea surface temperature from the infrared thermometer. The contours are HYCOM sea surface height from May 19, 2021.

Х - 7



:

Figure S2. Snapshot of an SQG model initialized with an eddy and a filament. The SQG model used is PyQG. The model is non-dimensionalized with buoyancy frequency and Coriolis frequency equal, as is approximately the case in the surface layer here.



:

Figure S3. Cross spectrum as a function of wavenumber from a surface quasigeostrophic model initialized with two counter rotating eddies. The solid line is the real part of the cross spectrum while the dashed line is the imaginary part. In contrast to the observations where the imaginary part becomes relatively more important at small scales, in the SQG model the imaginary part becomes less important at small scales.



Figure S4. (top) Average wavelet coherence spectrum from S-MODE (middle) Ratio of the imaginary to real part of the cross spectrum. This ratio is only shown where the coherence value is above the significance threshold. (bottom) Velocity vectors. This analysis reveals that the shift from a predominantly real to a complex cross spectrum occurs at the strongest fronts in the region sampled, occurring at slightly larger scales at the western front and slightly smaller scales at the eastern fronts.



Figure S5. Kinetic energy flux across 1 km (Π) and its component parts $\Pi = -(\tau_{uv}(u_y + v_x) + \tau_{uu}u_x + \tau_{vv}v_y).$



Figure S6. Kinetic energy flux associated with vertical shear Π_v across 1 km.