

# Complete Percutaneous Coronary Revascularization: An Elegant Solution to Left Ventricular Dysfunction Caused by Severe Coronary Artery Disease

Stefan Milutinovic<sup>1</sup>, Kamaldeep Singh<sup>2</sup>, Stevan Oluic<sup>3</sup>, Juan Mattei<sup>2</sup>, and Ricardo Escarcega<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Florida State University College of Medicine

<sup>2</sup>Lee Health

<sup>3</sup>Mayo Clinic Health System in Mankato

April 25, 2024

## Complete Percutaneous Coronary Revascularization: An Elegant Solution to Left Ventricular Dysfunction Caused by Severe Coronary Artery Disease

Stefan Milutinovic<sup>1</sup>, Kamaldeep Singh<sup>2</sup>, Stevan Oluic<sup>3</sup>, Juan C. Lopez-Mattei<sup>2</sup>, Ricardo O. Escárcega<sup>1,2,4</sup>

1. Florida State University College of Medicine Internal Medicine Residency Program at Lee Health, Cape Coral, FL, USA
2. Lee Health Heart Institute, Fort Myers, FL, USA
3. Department of Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic Health System, Mankato, MN, USA
4. Florida Heart Associates, Fort Myers, FL, USA

Email addresses: SM – [smilutinovic@fsu.edu](mailto:smilutinovic@fsu.edu); KS – [kamaldeep.singh@leehealth.org](mailto:kamaldeep.singh@leehealth.org); SO – [oluic.stevan@mayo.edu](mailto:oluic.stevan@mayo.edu); JCLM – [juan.lopezmattei@leehealth.org](mailto:juan.lopezmattei@leehealth.org); ROE – [orlando.escarcega@leehealth.org](mailto:orlando.escarcega@leehealth.org)

Correspondence:

Stefan Milutinovic, MD

Florida State University College of Medicine Lee Health Heart Institute [smilutinovic@fsu.edu](mailto:smilutinovic@fsu.edu)

+1 (239) 737-0237

2585 Liberty Park Dr, Apt 8209, Cape Coral, FL 33909

**Disclosures:** The authors report no relationships that could be construed as a conflict of interest

**Funding:** None.

**Keywords :** High-risk PCI, Chronic Total Occlusion, Mechanical Support , LV dysfunction

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient to publish this report in accordance with the journal's patient consent policy

### Introduction:

Many patients with heart failure (HF) suffer from coronary artery disease (CAD) simultaneously, with estimated prevalence ranging from 50% to 65% [1]. Recent data indicate that CAD has become the most common cause of HF, surpassing hypertension and valvular heart disease [2]. Moreover, CAD substantially increases

the risk for developing HF, whether it is with reduced or preserved ejection fraction [3]. Despite the somewhat limited evidence regarding the risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, 2021 Expert Consensus Pathway for Optimization of Heart Failure Treatment has recommended coronary revascularization in appropriate patients [4]. Regardless of whether percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) or coronary artery bypass surgery (CABG) is preferred option, patients with complex coronary occlusions are increasingly not surgical candidates and are referred for hemodynamic-supported complex percutaneous revascularization.

### **Case History:**

A 64-year-old male patient with hypertension, smoking, and alcohol use was admitted to the hospital with worsening scrotal and lower extremity swelling.

### **Differential diagnosis, investigations, and treatment:**

An initial cardiac evaluation revealed elevated troponin and pro-BNP levels. Echocardiogram showed severe global hypokinesis with left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of 20%. Coronary angiography revealed multivessel coronary artery disease including chronic total occlusion of the right coronary artery with collaterals from the left coronary system (Figure 1). To fully characterize the territories needing revascularization, MRI viability study was obtained, cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) showed viable myocardium at RCA, circumflex, and predominantly viable LAD, except for distal apical septal segment. (Figure 2). Due to the severity of CAD, the patient was referred for coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), however, was deemed not a good candidate by the heart team.

### **Outcome and follow-up**

After a thorough evaluation of his anatomy, the patient underwent percutaneous revascularization in two settings, first, multivessel PCI with Impella CP (Abiomed, Danver, Massachusetts) support (Figure 3, A, B) of LAD and Circumflex. Furthermore, the patient subsequently underwent chronic total occlusion (CTO) PCI to achieve complete revascularization. The CTO PCI of the right coronary artery was performed via primary retrograde approach with the placement of two drug-eluting stents (Figure 3, C, D). After complete revascularization, the patient's symptoms have improved significantly with the marked reduction in his NYHA class. Three months after, patient was NYHA Class I and his echocardiogram showed a significant recovery in LVEF to 45-50%.

### **Discussion:**

Surgical revascularization has been proven favorable strategy for patients with diabetes, left main disease, and multivessel coronary artery disease. However, around 20% of these patients may not qualify for surgery due to various reasons, such as subjective decision-making by operators, inadequately accounted factors in surgical risk models (poor targets), exclusion of certain patients from clinical trials, historical preference for PCI as the primary treatment, and limited recommendations from societal guidelines [4]. The main goal of surgical approach is to ensure complete revascularization, particularly in patients who received 3 or more grafts, as this has been associated with improved survival compared to those who received <3 grafts [6]. This goal has been extrapolated to percutaneous revascularization, but its applicability to stable coronary disease remains controversial due to disparity of data. However, in patients with acute coronary syndromes with elevation of the ST segment, complete percutaneous revascularization has been shown to improve outcomes [7]. During PCI, the completeness of revascularization is crucial, as higher residual SYNTAX score has been associated with worse 5-year mortality [8].

Coronary CTO PCI is complex and requires additional training and deep understanding of different techniques. It has higher potential periprocedural complications, and limited evidence of survival benefits. However, CTO PCI is part an essential part of the corpus of complex high-risk intervention in indicated patients (CHIP), in fact it has been increasingly considered as an alternative to coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Mechanical circulatory support (MCS), specifically the Impella device, has been utilized to facilitate PCI in these patients following successful outcomes in PROTECT studies [9,10], leading to its widespread usage in catheterization laboratories.

Hemodynamically supported revascularization is commonly performed in patients with a LVEF  $\geq 35\%$ , but it is not exclusive to this group. Despite advancements in procedural techniques, equipment, and the implementation of a systematic hybrid approach, CTO PCI still faces challenges due to the high-risk characteristics of many patients, particularly those with impaired left-ventricular function [11,12]. Different CTO PCI technical success rate has been reported in the literature so far. A 2021 PROGRESS-CTO Registry demonstrates a high technical success rate (85%) and a low incidence of in-hospital major adverse cardiac events (MACE) (2.1%) [13]. Data has also shown that the retrograde technique, as in our case, can increase the technical success rate, but it is associated with increased rates of complications [14,15].

The effectiveness of CTO PCI in relieving angina has been well established. Following the procedure, our patient reported significant symptomatic relief on a 3-month follow-up visit. Several studies have demonstrated improved angina symptoms following successful CTO PCI [16,17]. In comparison to patients with unsuccessful CTO PCI, the OPEN-CTO registry reported statistically significant improvement in the Seattle Angina Questionnaire Quality of Life Score and Rose Dyspnea Scale score compared with the unsuccessful procedure [18]. Similarly, the European CTO club reported improvement in dyspnea and angina after a follow-up period of 23 months [19].

Although data has significant limitations, CTO PCI does not appear to affect all-cause mortality (one-year relative risk [RR] 1.70, 95% CI 0.50-5.80 and four-year RR 1.77, 95% CI 0.19-16.06), myocardial infarction (one-year RR 1.01, 95% CI 0.43-2.36 and four-year RR 1.46, 95% CI 0.75-2.87), or cardiovascular disease mortality (one-year RR 1.14, 95% CI 0.38-3.40 and four-year RR 2.05, 95% CI 0.8-5.28) when compared to optimal medical management [20]. This finding aligns with the limited benefits of PCI revascularization in stable coronary artery disease [21], understanding that this population of not surgical candidacy has a high comorbidity burden that impacts mortality. Another consideration is that revascularization with hemodynamic support may be associated with a higher occurrence of in-hospital major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events (MACCE), which is likely attributed to the selective use of mechanical support in patients with higher risk profiles [22].

The data regarding LV systolic function is also not uniform. Recent REVIVED-BCIS2 trial failed to show all-cause mortality (HR 0.99, 95% CI 0.78-1.27) or LV systolic function benefits at 6 months (mean difference, -1.6 percentage points; 95% CI, -3.7 to 0.5) and at 12 months (mean difference, 0.9 percentage points; 95% CI, -1.7 to 3.4) compared with optimal guideline-directed medical therapy, regardless of viability characteristics at baseline [23], although about 30% of modalities used to assess viability were other than CMR. Contrary, RESTORE-EF observational study reported significant improvement in LVEF at 90 days from  $35 \pm 15\%$  to  $45 \pm 14\%$  ( $p < .0001$ ) [24]. Moreover, this study revealed a substantial relative reduction of 76% in NYHA class III/IV heart failure symptoms and an impressive relative reduction of 97% in CCS angina class III/IV symptoms from the baseline measurements to the post-PCI period. The improvement in LVEF was observed exclusively in patients with LVEF below 45%, whereas the improvement in heart failure and anginal symptoms was noted across the entire spectrum of LVEF. The disparity between these two trials is likely due to differences in the study population. In the later trial, patients had higher rates of left main disease and more extensive coronary disease. Angina was also more frequent and severe in the later trial. Additionally, in the REVIVED-BCIS2 trial, two-thirds of the patients had not been hospitalized in the previous two years, and there were no cases of NSTEMI.

In conclusion, our case highlights the potential benefits of complex high-risk PCI with mechanical support and the potential benefits of CTO PCI to improve LV function.

Author contribution:

Stefan Milutinovic - Conceptualization, resources, Writing – original draft

Kamaldeep Singh - Resources, Writing – Review and Editing, Supervision

Stevan Oluic - Conceptualization, resources, Writing – original draft

Juan C. Lopez-Mattei - Visualization, Supervision, Writing – Review and Editing

## References:

1. Doshi D, Ben-Yehuda O, Bonafede M, et al. Underutilization of Coronary Artery Disease Testing Among Patients Hospitalized With New-Onset Heart Failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016 Aug 2;68(5):450-458. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.05.060. PMID: 27470451; PMCID: PMC9135048.
2. Lloyd-Jones DM, Larson MG, Leip EP, et al. Lifetime risk for developing congestive heart failure: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2002;106:3068–72.
3. John JE, Claggett B, Skali H, et al. Coronary Artery Disease and Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: The ARIC Study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2022 Sep 6;11(17):e021660. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.121.021660. Epub 2022 Aug 24. PMID: 36000416; PMCID: PMC9496411.
4. Maddox TM, Januzzi JL Jr, Allen LA, et al. 2021 Update to the 2017 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway for Optimization of Heart Failure Treatment: Answers to 10 Pivotal Issues About Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Solution Set Oversight Committee. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021 Feb 16;77(6):772-810. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.11.022. Epub 2021 Jan 11. PMID: 33446410.
5. Waldo SW, Secemsky EA, O'Brien C, et al. Surgical ineligibility and mortality among patients with unprotected left main or multivessel coronary artery disease undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Circulation*. 2014 Dec 23;130(25):2295-301. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.011541. Epub 2014 Nov 12. PMID: 25391519; PMCID: PMC4276481.
6. Bell MR, Gersh BJ, Schaff HV, et al. Effect of completeness of revascularization on long-term outcome of patients with three-vessel disease undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: a report from the Coronary Artery Surgery Study (CASS) Registry. *Circulation* 1992;86:446–57.
7. Mehta SR, Wood DA, Storey RF, et al. Complete Revascularization with Multivessel PCI for Myocardial Infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2019 Oct 10;381(15):1411-1421. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1907775. Epub 2019 Sep 1. PMID: 31475795.
8. Farooq V, Serruys PW, Bourantas CV, et al. Quantification of incomplete revascularization and its association with five-year mortality in the synergy between percutaneous coronary intervention with taxus and cardiac surgery (SYNTAX) trial validation of the residual SYNTAX score. *Circulation*. 2013 Jul 9;128(2):141-51. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.001803. Epub 2013 Jun 13. PMID: 23766350.
9. Dixon SR, Henriques JP, Mauri L, et al. A prospective feasibility trial investigating the use of the Impella 2.5 system in patients undergoing high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention (The PROTECT I Trial): initial U.S. experience. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2009 Feb;2(2):91-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2008.11.005. PMID: 19463408.
10. O'Neill WW, Kleiman NS, Moses J, et al. A prospective, randomized clinical trial of hemodynamic support with Impella 2.5 versus intra-aortic balloon pump in patients undergoing high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention: the PROTECT II study. *Circulation*. 2012 Oct 2;126(14):1717-27. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.098194. Epub 2012 Aug 30. PMID: 22935569.
11. Brilakis ES, Banerjee S, Karpaliotis D, et al. Pro- cedural outcomes of chronic total occlusion percutaneous coronary intervention. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2015;8:245–253.
12. Danek BA, Karatasakis A, Karpaliotis D, et al. Development and validation of a scoring system for predicting periprocedural complications during percutaneous coronary interventions of chronic total occlusions: The Prospective Global Registry for the Study of Chronic Total Occlusion Intervention (PROGRESS CTO) Complications Score. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2016;5:e004272.
13. Kostantinis S, Simsek B, Karacsonyi J, et al. In-hospital outcomes and temporal trends of percutaneous coronary interventions for chronic total occlusion. *EuroIntervention*. 2022 Dec 2;18(11):e929-e932. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-22-00599. PMID: 36065983; PMCID: PMC9743233.
14. Galassi AR, Sianos G, Werner GS, et al. Retrograde Recanalization of Chronic Total Occlusions in Europe: Procedural, In-Hospital, and Long-Term Outcomes From the Multicenter ERCOT Registry. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015; 65:2388.

15. Patel VG, Brayton KM, Tamayo A, et al. Angiographic success and procedural complications in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary chronic total occlusion interventions: a weighted meta-analysis of 18,061 patients from 65 studies. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2013; 6:128.
16. Grantham JA, Jones PG, Cannon L, Spertus JA. Quantifying the early health status benefits of successful chronic total occlusion recanalization: Results from the FlowCardia's Approach to Chronic Total Occlusion Recanalization (FACTOR) Trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2010; 3:284.
17. Joyal D, Afilalo J, Rinfret S. Effectiveness of recanalization of chronic total occlusions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am Heart J* 2010; 160:179.
18. Sapontis J, Salisbury AC, Yeh RW, et al. Early Procedural and Health Status Outcomes After Chronic Total Occlusion Angioplasty: A Report From the OPEN-CTO Registry (Outcomes, Patient Health Status, and Efficiency in Chronic Total Occlusion Hybrid Procedures). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2017; 10:1523.
19. Werner GS, Martin-Yuste V, Hildick-Smith D, et al. A randomized multicentre trial to compare revascularization with optimal medical therapy for the treatment of chronic total coronary occlusions. *Eur Heart J*. 2018 Jul 7;39(26):2484-2493. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy220. PMID: 29722796.
20. Werner GS, Martin-Yuste V, Hildick-Smith D, et al. A randomized multicentre trial to compare revascularization with optimal medical therapy for the treatment of chronic total coronary occlusions. *Eur Heart J*. 2018 Jul 7;39(26):2484-2493. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy220. PMID: 29722796.
21. Maron DJ, Hochman JS, Reynolds HR, et al. Initial Invasive or Conservative Strategy for Stable Coronary Disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2020 Apr 9;382(15):1395-1407. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1915922. Epub 2020 Mar 30. PMID: 32227755; PMCID: PMC7263833.
22. Achim A, Marc M, Ruzsa Z. Surgical Turned-Down CHIP Cases-Can PCI Save the Day? *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2022 Apr 7;9:872398. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.872398. PMID: 35463754; PMCID: PMC9021524.
23. Perera D, Clayton T, O'Kane PD, et al. REVIVED-BCIS2 Investigators. Percutaneous Revascularization for Ischemic Left Ventricular Dysfunction. *N Engl J Med*. 2022 Oct 13;387(15):1351-1360. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2206606. Epub 2022 Aug 27. PMID: 36027563.
24. Wollmuth J, Patel MP, Dahle T, Bharadwaj A, Waggoner TE, Chambers JW, et al. Ejection fraction improvement following contemporary high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention: Restore EF Study results. *Journal of the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography & Interventions*. 2022;1(5):100350. doi:10.1016/j.jscai.2022.100350

### Images legend:

**Figure 1** – Left (A, B) and right (C) coronary system showing multivessel coronary artery disease including chronic total occlusion of the right coronary artery which fills with collaterals from the left coronary system, subtotally occluded proximal calcified LAD, 70-80% proximal and 70% mid left circumflex stenosis.

### Figure 2. Viability assessment with cardiac MRI:

Panel A shows LGE acquisition in 4 Chamber plane with a transmural infarction at distal apical septal segment (see arrow).

Panel B shows LGE images in SAX plane with mid inferoseptal and mid inferior subendocardial infarction. Panel C shows

proximal apical septal segments with subendocardial infarction. These findings were consistent with viable RCA and circumflex territories and predominantly viable LAD, with non-viable distal apical septal segment.

**Figure 3** – A and B: Successful multivessel PCI with Impella support, PCI of proximal to distal circumflex with two drug-eluting stents, and successful rotational atherectomy of proximal LAD with 2 drug-eluting stents. C-D: Successful CTO PCI in retrograde fashion of the right coronary artery



