# In-situ metagenomics: A platform for on-field rapid sequencing and analysis of metagenomes in less than one day

Javier Tamames<sup>1</sup>, Diego Jiménez<sup>1</sup>, Alvaro Redondo<sup>1</sup>, Sandra Martínez-García<sup>2</sup>, and Asunción de los Ríos<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC) <sup>2</sup>Universidade de Vigo <sup>3</sup>Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales

August 24, 2023

#### Abstract

We present a complete portable pipeline for sequencing and analysis of environmental metagenomes in less than a day. This unprecedented development was possible due to the conjunction of state-of-the art experimental and computational advances: a portable laboratory suitable for DNA extraction and sequencing with nanopore technology. The powerful metagenomic analysis pipeline SqueezeMeta, capable to provide a complete analysis in a few hours and using scarce computational resources. Finally, tools for the automatic inspection of the results via a graphical user interface, that can be coupled to a web server to allow remote visualization of data (SQMtools and SQMxplore). We tested the feasibility of our approach in the sequencing of the microbiota associated to volcanic rocks in La Palma, Canary Islands. Also, we did a two-day sampling campaign of marine waters in which the results obtained the first day guided the experimental design of the second day. We demonstrate that it is possible to generate metagenomic information in less than one day, making it feasible to obtain taxonomic and functional profiles fast and efficiently, even in field conditions. This capacity can be used in the further to perform real-time functional and taxonomic profiling of microbial communities in remote areas

- 1 In-situ metagenomics: A platform for on-field rapid sequencing and
- 2 analysis of metagenomes in less than one day
- 3
- 4 Running title: Rapid on-field metagenomics
- 5
- <sup>6</sup> Javier Tamames<sup>1\*</sup>, Diego Jiménez<sup>1</sup>, Álvaro Redondo<sup>1</sup>, Sandra
- 7 Martínez-García<sup>2</sup>, Asunción de los Rios<sup>3</sup>
- 8
- 9 1 Microbiome Analysis Laboratory, Systems Biology Department, CNB-CSIC, 28049
- 10 Madrid, Spain
- 11 2 Centro de Investigación Mariña, Universidade de Vigo, Departamento de Ecoloxía e
- 12 Bioloxía Animal, 36310 Vigo, Spain
- 13 3 Department of Biogeochemistry and Microbial Ecology, National Museum of Natural
- 14 Sciences (MNCN-CSIC), 28006 Madrid, Spain
- 15 \* Corresponding author: jtamames@cnb.csic.es
- 16

## 17 Abstract

18

We present a complete portable pipeline for sequencing and analysis of environmental metagenomes in less than a day. This unprecedented development was possible due to the conjunction of state-of-the art experimental and computational advances: a portable laboratory suitable for DNA extraction and sequencing with nanopore technology. The powerful metagenomic analysis pipeline SqueezeMeta, capable to provide a complete 25 analysis in a few hours and using scarce computational resources. Finally, tools for the 26 automatic inspection of the results via a graphical user interface, that can be coupled to 27 a web server to allow remote visualization of data (SQMtools and SQMxplore). We 28 tested the feasibility of our approach in the sequencing of the microbiota associated to 29 volcanic rocks in La Palma, Canary Islands. Also, we did a two-day sampling campaign 30 of marine waters in which the results obtained the first day guided the experimental 31 design of the second day. We demonstrate that it is possible to generate metagenomic 32 information in less than one day, making it feasible to obtain taxonomic and functional 33 profiles fast and efficiently, even in field conditions. This capacity can be used in the further to perform real-time functional and taxonomic profiling of microbial communities 34 35 in remote areas

36

#### 37 Keywords

Metagenomics; Bioinformatics; Microbial Ecology; Environmental DNA sequencing;
 Microbiome

40

### 41 Introduction

42

The popularization of portable sequencers, especially those based on nanopore technologies [1], has created the possibility of having rapid sequencing data which can be very valuable in several contexts, for instance in clinical scenarios of disease control or epidemics [2,3]. Also, the portability of these devices has been explored *in situ*, for example in oceanographic expeditions or in the Antarctic ice [4-6], illustrating the capability of producing sequences readily. This allows to envision the capacity of designing dynamic sampling campaigns, where the planning of the whole campaign

51 can be driven by the results being produced. This can be important, for instance, 52 whenever the sampling takes place in remote regions for which is desirable to have prompt data acquisition to prevent suboptimal results. It will be valuable also in any 53 54 study where following the course of a microbiome in real time is necessary, for example 55 when monitoring microbial blooms [7], assessing the quality of drinking waters 56 (including security and bioterrorism) [8], or controlling food processing issues like 57 fermentations [9,10]. While standard amplification approaches (metabarcoding) can be 58 useful in some of these cases (for instance, for detecting particular organisms in a 59 sample), they may present issues related to biases in the amplification, and are usually 60 limited to study taxonomic composition and/or very specific functions [11]. When the 61 objective is to obtain a full functional profile of the whole community, or the sample is 62 expected to contain unknown organisms, metagenomics is a most sensible option [12]. 63 Metagenomics is a powerful tool for gaining insight on microbial communities, and has 64 become a standard procedure for analyzing the structure and functionality of 65 microbiomes.

66

67 The bottleneck of metagenomics is often the complexity of the associated bioinformatic 68 analysis. To relieve this burden, we developed the SqueezeMeta pipeline [13] with 69 several objectives in mind: 1) offering a fast and easy-to use platform for performing the 70 complete analysis of metagenomes. Our goal was to include all the common steps in 71 metagenomic analysis with state-of-the-art tools, but making them attainable to all 72 users, no matter their bionformatic skills. 2) Breaking the dependence on large 73 computers, making it able to run with scarce resources, even laptops. 3) Providing 74 additional tools for performing the statistical analysis and sharing the results. 75

Since then, we and others have tested the ability of SqueezeMeta to fulfill these requirements in many different instances. These capabilities make SqueezeMeta an optimal system for analyzing metagenomic data in all settings, even under difficult environmental conditions, and with poor logistic setups and limited computational resources.

81

Our challenge has been to be able to produce a complete metagenomic analysis in less that 24 hours, directly on the sampling spot, without relying on electrical power or internet connectivity. This will make our system capable to work in any circumstance and in any environment (including the most remote ones), and to obtain real-time results that can shared with others on-the-fly. To do so, we devised a platform composed of several different modules:

1) A portable DNA extraction laboratory, small enough to be carried by one person, to
isolate environmental DNA.

2) a MinION nanopore sequencer for producing metagenomic sequences.

3) The bioinformatic pipeline SqueezeMeta, running in a small laptop, to analyze the

92 DNA sequences, and:

4) The stand-alone statistical package SQMTools [14] to perform statistical analysis of

94 the data, coupled to our new SQMxplore library

95 (https://github.com/redondrio/SQMxplore) which allows creating interactive web pages

and interfaces for openly sharing the results.

97

98 These steps are summarized in Figure 1. For testing the feasibility of in-situ sequencing

and the dynamic design of campaigns, we performed two different sampling and

sequencing experiments. The first aimed to set up the protocol under field conditions,

- sequencing the microbiota associated to volcanic rocks on La Palma island (Canary
  Islands, Spain). The second aimed to design a two-day campaign in which the results
  of the first day coud be used to determine the objectives for the second one. For this
  purpose, we chose sampling marine planktonic communities in the Ria de Vigo (Spain).
  Materials and Methods
  Portable DNA extraction laboratory
- 109 The portable laboratory was composed of the following items:
- 110 -MicroSpin centrifuge, yielding 12.500 RPM (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA)
- 111 -Table Vortex, lightened by removing the metal base (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA,

112 USA)

- 113 -Mini agate mortar and pestle, for homogenizing samples.
- 114 -MicroSpinner (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA)
- 115 -Two mini batteries to power up all systems (U'King Shenzhen Zhuo Qiong
- 116 Technology Co., Ltd., China)
- 117 -PowerSoil DNA extraction kit (Qiagen NV, Venlo, Netherlands)

118

- 119 Optionally, in case of cold conditions, the devices can be heated using:
- 120 -3 Hand warmers (up to 60°C, Shenzhen Ziheng Technology Co., Ltd., China)
- 121 -2 portable thermal isolated containers

- 123 The DNA extraction protocol included with the recommended PowerSoil DNA
- 124 extraction kit includes bead-beating and centrifugation. Our tests indicate this can be

done efficiently with portable equipment, as demonstrated by comparisons withstandard laboratory equipment.

Microbial DNA is sometimes scarce in environmental samples. Therefore, it is advisable to process several extraction tubes using the same filtration column, in order to collect as much DNA as possible. In our settings, we process 8 tubes per column. It is also advisable to perform a gentle bead-beating, in order to maintain DNA integrity as much as possible, which will be very important to obtain higher quality in the subsequent sequencing step.

133 In addition, we have improved the results by purifying the extracted DNA using Omega

134 Mag-Bind TotalPure NGS Beads (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA), which helps to

preserve the life span of the flow cell by removing contaminants that could degrade it.

136

137 All the devices are powered by a portable battery (222Wh/60000mAh) with autonomy

138 for 12 hours of normal functioning. In case of cold conditions, we insulated the batteries

and other equipment in an insulated lunch bag, heated by placing hand warmers in it.

140 Cool conditions for storing some reagents are kept by using an insulated thermal

141 container (portable 10 l camping fridge) with cold packs inside.

142

#### 143 Laboratory transportation and setting

All devices can be carried in a suitcase, or a medium backpack (60 liters). The total
weight is around 13 Kg. A light camping tent is used to provide shelter and protection
from sun, rain, moisture, or winds. Inside of the tent, a small folding table (1x1 meters)
is sited as stable surface, together with a portable chair (Figure 2).

148

#### 149 **DNA sequencing platform**

150 The sequencing module is composed of the following items:

151

- 152 -Qubit 4 fluorometer (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA)
- 153 -MinION sequencer (ONT, Oxford, UK)
- 154 -MinION flow cell (ONT, Oxford, UK)
- 155 -RAPid Sequencing Kit (ONT, Oxford, UK)
- 156 -Micro Thermocycler or portable water heater
- 157 -Laptop Schenker XMG Fusion 15 (16 Gb RAM, 8 core), with stand-alone MinKNOW
- 158 software (v21.02., ONT, Oxford, UK)

159

First, the DNA concentration was measured using the Qubit fluorometer. This is needed to correctly adjust the amount of DNA to be introduced in the flow cell. The concentration of DNA obtained from environmental samples is variable, but can be rather low in lava rocks. Then, we calculated the volume of the DNA solution to be added for introducing 400 ng of DNA. We estimated that a minimum DNA concentration around 40 ng/ $\mu$ l is needed. Several samples can be multiplexed in the same sequencing run.

167

The library is prepared using the RAPid kit from ONT, following manufacturer's
instructions, and barcoding the diverse samples with different tags. This kit includes a
transposase that must be thermally inactivated. This can be done using a mini
thermocycler, or simply heating water using a water immersion heater and incubating
briefly the solution.

173 The sequencing time to reach the desired amount of sequence depends on several174 factors (DNA concentration, flow cell integrity, etc). In cold conditions, the MinION

175 device and the laptop are protected by using insulated containers, which can be heated

176 by placing hand warmers inside.

177

#### 178 **Bioinformatics platform**

179 The equipment needed for the bioinformatic analysis are the following:

180

181 -Same laptop than above (Schenker XMG Fusion 15), running the SqueezeMeta

182 pipeline (https://github.com/jtamames/SqueezeMeta), R, the SQMTools, SQMxplore

and Shiny R libraries installed. Internet connectivity is not needed for functioning, but of

184 course would be necessary for sharing the data over the internet, if desired.

-Mini batteries to power up the laptop (U'King Shenzhen Zhuo Qiong Technology Co.,
Ltd., China)

187

SqueezeMeta is a fully automatic software that performs the common steps of the 188 bioinformatic analysis of metagenomic data [13]. The preferred mode of analysis 189 190 implies assembling the raw sequences. But when the amount of sequencing is 191 moderate, as in our case, the performance of the assembly decreases and it is advisable to run the analysis directly on the raw reads [15]. Each read is then 192 processed looking for ORFs and performing taxonomic and functional annotation for 193 194 them, using the sgm longreads program from the SqueezeMeta suite. The results are composed by a set of tables compiling all the information found for each read (including 195 196 functional and taxonomic assignments), and statistics on the abundance of taxa and 197 functions.

198 The drawback of using read annotation is that usually it takes a long time to complete, 199 thus compromising our goal of performing the complete pipeline in less than 24 hours.

Accordingly, the following strategy was used for the marine samples: Analyze the first three samples by co-assembly using an assembler such as Flye [16], Canu [17], or MEGAHIT [18], to provide a quick analysis adequate to determine the most interesting spot for additional sampling. The first two are preferable, since they are optimized for working with MinION reads. The "--singletons" option of SqueezeMeta was used, allowing the addition the unassembled reads as new contigs. The second set of samples was analyzed using careful annotation of reads.

207

The analysis of the results is facilitated by the SQMtools R package [14], part of the
SqueezeMeta suite. This library imports the tables resulting from the SqueezeMeta run
and creates a R object that can be used to perform many different statistical analyses.
SQMtools includes many prefabricated commands to obtain easily the most common
types of plots and analyses.

213

The final step is the visualization and publication of results to make them accessible to 214 the public. For this we use SQMxplore, which is a graphical user interface based on 215 216 Shiny, a R library to build interactive web apps straight from R. SQMxplore takes the results from SqueezeMeta and SQMtools and displays them using a web browser. The 217 data can be easily explored and shared, for instance by drawing histograms for the 218 219 taxonomic composition of the sample, or the abundance of different functions. In this way, a remote user is able to access the results for inspection, without the need of 220 221 (bio)informatic skills.

222

Taxonomic diagrams were plotted with Pavian [19], via the sqm2pavian script of the
SqueezeMeta pipeline. Plots for KEGG metabolic maps were done using the SQMtools
interface to PathView [20].

226

#### 227 Sampling design: microbial communities on volcanic rocks

228 For the sequencing of microbiota associated with volcanic rocks, lava rock samples of

two different ages were taken in May 2022 from lava fields in the south of La Palma

island (Canary Island, Spain). Two main volcanic eruptions took place at the sampling

site: San Antonio volcano (1677), and Teneguia volcano (1971). Each of them

produced its own lava flows, which are very close and easily identifiable (Figure 3)

233 (28°28'32"N 17°51'04"W).

234 We took 5 subsamples (weighting approximately 100 grams each) in each of the spots

and combined them to obtain one sample per sampling spot.,. We crumbled down them

using a small mortar and pestle, to obtain a fine grained powder suitable for the

237 PowerSoil extraction kit.

The resequencing of the volcanic samples for validation was performed using lluminaNextSeq2000, in FISABIO (Valencia, Spain).

240

### 241 Sampling design: planktonic microbial communities

We planned an oceanographic cruise in the Ría de Vigo (NW Iberian Peninsula). During the first day (July 12th 2022), surface (2 m) water samples were taken at three different locations: one in the outer part of the Ría, which is significantly influenced by oceanic waters (Cap Home, 42° 14.262´N 8° 52.325´W), one in the middle sector of the embayment in a anthropogenically affected area (Samil Beach, 42° 12.551´N 8° 46.983 ´W), and the last one in the inner part of the Ría with a relatively higher influence of riverine discharge (San Simón Bay, 42º 18.707´N 8º 37.926´W) (Figure 3). The three samples were processed and analyzed in order to choose the microbial community with the most interesting metagenomic profile, to repeat the sampling at the corresponding site the following day (July 14th 2022), increasing the sequencing depth of the analysis and the sampling resolution in the water column (2 m and 5 m).

253

254 Seawater samples were collected in 5 L acid-cleaned Niskin bottles and filtered through 255 a 200 µm pore size mesh to remove larger zooplankton, in order to ensure good 256 replication and facilitate filtration process. Subsequently, 12 L acid-washed polycarbonate bottles were gently filled with the filtered waters and kept under dim light 257 258 conditions, until arrival to the laboratory. Microplankton biomass was concentrated by 259 means of sequential filtration through 3 and 0.2 µm pore-size polycarbonate filters at 260 low vacuum pressure. Particles retained in the 3 µm pore-size filters were discarded, 261 and microbial DNA was extracted from the 0.2 µm pore-size polycarbonate filters.

262

As explained above, approximately 5 liters of water were processed for each sample. When processing seawater samples, a first step of microplankton biomass concentration by means of vacuum filtration is needed. Onboard logistics did not allow to perform this filtration at sea, although this is a procedure often performed during oceanographic cruises. Therefore, water samples were taken to the laboratory at Estación de Ciencias Marina de Toralla (ECIMAT, Vigo) for filtration. The rest of the protocol remains unaltered.

270

271 **Results** 

272

273 Volcanic samples

274

The goal of this experiment was to assess the differences in community structure in lava rocks of different ages (Teneguia and San Antonio samples), in order to shed light on the microbial colonization patterns of these rocks. Therefore, we were interested in determining the taxonomic profile of both samples.

279 We were able to reach the objective of completing the full protocol of sampling, DNA 280 extraction, sequencing and in-situ analysis in less than 24 hours, powered all the 281 equipment with batteries and in the absence of data connectivity. The amount of DNA obtained from these rocks was rather low: 14.7 ng/ $\mu$ l in Teneguía, and 32.1 ng/ $\mu$ l in 282 283 San Antonio. In order to obtain a reasonable sequencing depth, the sequencing had to 284 be extended for several hours, resulting in almost complete degradation of the flow cell. We sequenced a total of 286.4 Mb, 191 Mb for San Antonio and 95.4 Mb for Teneguia 285 286 (Table 1). Raw reads for these samples were analyzed using the script 287 sqm longreads.pl from the SqueezeMeta pipeline (Table 1).

288

289 The taxonomic profiles obtained by the analysis of the metagenomes can be seen in 290 Figure 4. While the bacterial community structure is rather similar in both samples, marked differences were found with respect to eukaryotic compounds. The composition 291 292 of Ascomycota assigned to Lecanoromycetes (major class including lichen-forming 293 fungi) differed between both samples. A clear predominance of sequences assigned to 294 the genus Letharia (Lecanorales) and presence of Cladonia genus (Lecanorales) was 295 observed in Teneguia lava rocks. However, in San Antonio samples, sequences 296 assigned to the genera Letharia (Lecanorales) and Lasallia (Umbilicariales) were 297 detected, but without the clear dominance of *Letharia* found in Teneguia samples. In

298 addition, sequences assigned to the fungal orders Chaetotyriales and Leotiomycetes 299 were only found in San Antonio samples. On the other hand, sequences assigned to 300 Trebouxia (Chlorophyta, Trebouxiales), the most common photobiont of lichen-forming 301 fungi, were also detected only in San Antonio samples. With respect of bacterial 302 communities, differences in composition of the phyllum Actionabacteria were also 303 found between both samples. These results reveal that the age of the lava mainly 304 conditions the fungal composition and the establishment of lichen communities. Thus, it 305 is demonstrated that this platform is useful to identify differences in microbial 306 composition in the field, and focus subsequent sampling.

We also generated functional profiles for both samples, making it possible to analyze
functional diversity exemplified by the abundance of genes involved in sulfur
metabolism (Suppl Figure 1).

310

311 To validate our approach and demonstrate that it produces valid and usable results, we resequenced both samples using Illumina NextSeq2000, obtaining 20 million 312 313 sequences per sample that were processed using the same SqueezeMeta pipeline 314 than for MinION sequences. That is, analyzing the reads using the sqm longreads.pl 315 script. The results are shown in Suppl Figure 2, and indicate a very strong correlation between results from MinION and Illumina (In all cases, R<sup>2</sup>>0.94, p<0.01). Both taxa 316 317 and functions abundances are very similar, with most abundant taxa and functions well 318 preserved among them. Therefore, our in-situ MinION sequencing produces accurate results and can be used for studying functional and taxonomic composition of 319 320 microbiomes.

321

#### 322 Marine water column samples

The objective of this experiment was to test the feasibility of planning a results-driven cruise, in which an initial sampling of different locations can serve to determine the most interesting spot to be further analyzed on subsequent days.

327

328 Our primary objective was to study sulfur metabolism in the Ria de Vigo. The Ria is characterized by high productivity due to upwelling events that promote the intrusion of 329 nutrient-rich water to the embayment [21]. This natural productivity and activities 330 331 related to mussel farming are associated with an increased flow of organic matter to the seabed. Microbiological degradation of this organic matter consumes oxygen from the 332 sediment interstitial water, promoting the development of anoxic zones where sulfate 333 334 reduction and methane production processes coexist [22]. We were interested in testing possible differences in some parts of the Ria, because sediment anoxic 335 conditions have been shown to be more prevalent and shallower in the sediment cores 336 from the inner part of the Ría (the San Simon Bay, which shows the characteristics of a 337 338 typical estuary and is subjected to particularly important inputs of organic matter) 339 compared to the middle or the outermost zones (which are subjected to oceanic 340 influence). In fact, the highest sulfide concentrations are usually found in the inner zone of the Ría, the San Simon Bay [23]. A recent work [24] demonstrates important 341 342 differences between the taxonomic composition of microbial communities living in 343 shallow organic-rich estuarine sediments from San Simón Bay and in non-gassy sediments retrieved from the outer area of the ria. The authors suggest these 344 345 differences are likely related to sediment type and differences in the cycling of organic 346 matter, sulfur and methane.

347

348 The aim of the present work was to study the differences in microbial processes related to sulfur cycle in the water column in distinct sectors of the Ría de Vigo. Our hypothesis 349 350 is that gas escapes from seafloor will differentially affect the sulfur cycling in the water 351 column in distinct sectors of the Ría de Vigo. We decided to explore three locations of 352 the Ria de Vigo, looking for the one with most interesting or most abundant genes related to sulfur metabolism. We performed two different samplings. During the first day 353 (12<sup>th</sup> July), we took microplankton surface samples in three different locations in the Ría 354 de Vigo, sequenced DNA and analyzed the sequences in less than 24 hours. 355 356 Metagenomic information recovered during the first day informed about sulfur metabolism in the three stations, and helped to choose the most interesting location to 357 perform a more detailed analysis (increased vertical resolution of sampling and 358 359 increased sequencing depth) during the second day.

360

After DNA extraction, we were able to retrieve the following DNA concentrations in the three spots: 8.60 ng/ $\mu$ l, 9.75 ng/ $\mu$ l in, and 21.8 ng/ $\mu$ l, for Cap Home, Samil Beach, and San Simón Bay samplings spots, respectively. These concentrations are below optimal, but still amenable to be sequenced.

365

Giving these concentrations, the three samples were barcoded and pooled using
equimolar amounts of DNA. Subsequently, samples were put into the MinION flow cell
for sequencing. To maximize flow cell survival, we decided to sequence for only 10
hours, as this was an exploratory analysis and consequently a large sequencing depth
was not necessary.

371

We obtained 98.693 reads, corresponding to 283 Mb of sequence (Table 1). Even if we
pooled equimolar amount of DNA for the tree samples, the result did not preserve
equal quantities for each sample. Indeed, 48% corresponded to San Simón sample,
31% to Cap Home, and 21% to Samil. This can be due to different causes (see
discussion).

377

As the results were needed quickly, we decided not to work with individual reads and instead analyze the results of the co-assembly of the three samples. Since the coverage in all samples was low, the proportion of reads that could be assembled was low for all samples (26%, 24% and 23%), yielding just 599 contigs (but long ones: N50=35.5 Kb, longest contig, 179 Kb). To increase the information, we decided to use the option "--singletons" in SqueezeMeta, that takes all the unassembled reads and treats them as new contigs. In this way, all reads are represented in the analysis.

Finally, 64.228 contigs (N50: 6.600 bp) encoding for 256.774 ORFs were obtained. The
analysis took approximately 4.5 hours to complete on our laptop. Therefore, the total
length of the experiment was: Sampling: 4 hours. DNA extraction: 5 hours. Sequencing:
10 hours. Analysis: 4 hours, total 23.5 hours.

390

Inspection of the results in SQMtools and SQMxplore quickly determined that San
Simón was the most interesting spot for sulfur metabolism, both in terms of abundance
and presence of genes related to sulfur.

Different sulfur-related genes were found in the three different locations during the first
day of sampling. Overall, the metagenome in San Simon Bay included a relatively
higher abundance of sulfur genes (Figure 5). For example, SoxA (2.8.5.2) and SoxB

397 (3.1.6.20) genes, thiosulfate sulfur transferases (2.8.1.1), TauACB and genes 398 responsible for catabolizing sulfonamides (1.14.11.17) were relatively more abundant in 399 San Simón Bay, suggesting an important presence of bacteria utilizing thiosulfate and 400 bacteria incorporating taurine at this site. Similarly, dehydrogenation of sulfite (1.8.5.6, 1.8.2.1) and sulfate reduction (2.7.1.25, 3.1.3.7, CysND, CysH) were also relatively 401 more abundant at San Simon Bay. Especially relevant was the presence of Sox genes, 402 403 being the only sample in which we spotted the presence of SoxA and SoxB genes 404 (Suppl Figure 3). Overall, the results from the first sampling day suggested that 405 microbial communities from San Simon Bay will be of more interest for a second, more intensive (water column depth resolution) sampling. 406

407

This second sampling was done on July 14th 2022. We took two samples in San Simón
sampling point, corresponding to two different depths (2 meters and 5 meters), so it
was possible to characterize in detail sulfur metabolism of microbial communities from
this station.

The concentration of extracted DNA was 29.7 ng/µl and 22.9 ng/µl for the samples at 2 412 413 and 5 meters, respectively. We performed sequencing during 10 hours using the same flow cell of the previous day. We aimed to obtain similar number of sequences for the 414 two samples, therefore we adjusted concentrations to load the same amount of DNA 415 416 for both. However, surprisingly, the total amount sequenced was 204 Mb and 32 Mb for both, emphasizing our difficulties to achieve equal sequencing depths (Table 1). 417 418 As time was not as demanding in this instance, a more complex approach was followed 419 for the analysis, using co-assembly and the "doublepass" option of SqueezeMeta. This 420 aims to discover extra genes by including an additional step of Blastx homology search 421 on these parts of the sequences without gene prediction, or where the predicted ORF

not matches anything in the nr database, pointing to a possible prediction mistake. The
sample taken the previous day at the same location was also included in this analysis.
A summary of the results can be seen in Table 1. We obtained 1148 contigs in the
assembly (Longest contig: 175796 bp) that contained approximately 30% of the reads.
These were supplemented with 67186 singletons (unassembled reads). The final set of
68.334 sequences contained almost 500.000 ORFs, of which more than 400.000
matched some gene in the GenBank nr database [25].

429

430 During the second survey, interesting temporal and spatial (vertical) differences in sulfur-related genes in San Simon Bay metagenomes were found (Suppl Figure 4). 431 432 Most of the sulfur-related genes found were relatively more abundant in surface 433 samples (2 m) than close to bottom (5 m). This result may suggest, for example, that 434 bacteria utilizing thiosulfate and bacteria incorporating taurine at this site are relatively 435 more abundant in surface waters. On the other hand, a tendency to have higher relative abundance at surface waters on 14<sup>th</sup> compared to 12<sup>th</sup> July was found for some 436 of the genes (e.g. SoxB, TauACB). These results suggest temporal changes in the 437 438 relative importance of specific sulfur metabolisms in San Simón Bay.

Hence, the use of this in-situ strategy allowed to make an informed selection of the
most interesting site at Ría de Vigo to perform an intensive metagenomic survey on
sulfur-related genes, demonstrating the feasibility of this approach.

442

## 443 **Discussion**

444

Analysis of metagenomic sequencing results is a work-intensive task involving several
steps and different software tools, and requires careful statistical analysis to achieve

the desired objectives (e.g. differences in functional or taxonomic diversity, or presence
of particular genes or organisms). Therefore, bioinformatics expertise and powerful
computational resources are needed.

450

To reduce this burden in resources and expertise, we have recently developed several software tools that provide a complete solution for all the bioinformatics involved in metagenomics. The SqueezeMeta software is a complete metagenomic pipeline that automatizes all steps of the analysis [13]. It requires minimal user intervention, making it amenable to all kind of users, regardless of their bioinformatics expertise, and is able to work with limited computational resources, even allowing to analyze metagenomes on a laptop.

458

The second tool is the SQMtools software [14]. This is a R library devoted to facilitate the statistical analysis of the results. The data generated by a SqueezeMeta run (e.g. contigs and gene sequences and annotations, aggregated functional and taxonomic profiles, and/or binning results) are loaded into a single R object, that can be explored with a set of simple functions allowing plot and chart drawing, performing multivariate analysis, or connecting to other popular analysis packages in microbial ecology.

Nevertheless, the drawback was that users need to be somehow proficient in R usage to take full advantage of the power of this tool. To overcome this limitation, we have developed a third tool to facilitate the usage for all kind of users. This tool, named SQMxplore, includes a user interface for managing the data and allows sharing the results remotely with other users (Suppl Figure 5). SQMxplore is an application written using the R's Shiny library that allows the loading of the tables created by SQMTools,

472 as a result of a SqueezeMeta metagenomic analysis. This tool leverages the capacities 473 of Shiny to provide an interactive graphical user interface, offering the possibility of 474 visually inspect the tables, create and export customized plots, and perform 475 multivariate analyses without the need of R programming. Shiny offers dynamic 476 reloading of the results, so that any adjustments in the input data are immediately translated to the resulting tables or plots. It is also possible to upload the results to a 477 478 web server, allowing remote users to interact with the data, thus facilitating 479 considerably the discussion and dissemination of the results.

480

The combination of these three tools provides a complete solution for all the bioinformatic procedures involved in metagenomics, and together with the availability of portable sequencers, opens the way to be able to analyze metagenomes quickly and directly on the sampling spot. To test this capacity, we have sequenced and analyzed metagenomes from soils and marine waters.

486

We have shown that a portable laboratory fitting in a medium backpack can be enough to sequence and analyze a medium-size metagenome directly in the field. All devices are powered by batteries, thus not needing connection to a stable power source to work. Internet connectivity is not needed, unless the results wanted to be shared with remote users via the web interface provided by SQMxplore. Even in that case, the amount of data needed to be uploaded is tiny.

493

The weight of the portable laboratory is around 13 kg, so it can be carried by a single
person for some time . This weight can be shared between different persons and/or put
into some wheeled transporter if the terrain allows it. In the study of marine samples,

the sample processing was performed in the base station to avoid carrying the bulky
and heavy filtering devices. But if needed, these pieces of equipment could be added to
the portable laboratory and powered with additional batteries. In this scenario, however,
we have not tested yet if the ship movement, affecting the stability of the devices, can
be an issue [6].

502

In laboratory tests devoted to prepare our next Antarctic campaign, we have found that the cold conditions severely affect the performance of the equipment, as observed by others [4,5]. However, the usage of thermal insulated boxes filled with one or several battery-powered hand warmers, were enough to maintain moderately warm conditions that ensure the proper functioning of the instrumental.

508

509 When working with substrates like rocks, where microbial colonization is limited, we often face a problem related with the low concentration of DNA present in the samples. 510 We ameliorated this drawback by processing higher amount of sample. In this study, it 511 was necessary to process eight tubes with 200 ng of soil each, which were later 512 513 collected in a single column, in order to concentrate as much as possible. Also, we realized that the setting of the bead beating procedure to lyse the cells was critical. We 514 advise the usage of gentle conditions for this step. Vigorous beating could facilitate the 515 516 breaking of the cells, especially if these are embedded in a solid matrix [26], but it could also lead to extensive DNA fragmentation that would hamper the posterior sequencing. 517 518 In terms of sequencing performance, it is much better to obtain fewer long sequences 519 than many short ones, because the sequencing will be faster, consequently reducing the degradation of the flow cell. In addition, the preparation of the sequencing libraries 520 521 is also conditioned by the size of the DNA fragments. Longer fragments will increase

the ratio sequence/adapter, resulting in an excess of adapter. The different degree of
DNA fragmentation will also hinder equalizing the contributions of different samples in
multiplexing, because if one sample is more fragmented than the other(s), equal DNA
concentrations can harbor different number of DNA molecules.

526

The long-term survival of flow cells is a real issue, especially when processing soil samples that are prone to have substances that can inactivate or damage the pores. After the initial sequencing runs, the number of available pores dramatically dropped, strongly hindering the reusing of the flow cells, and therefore increasing costs very much. In our experience, a cleaning/purifying previous step using magnetic beads to eliminate impurities improves the durability of flow cells, thus reducing the costs of insitu sequencing.

534

Regarding the bioinformatic analysis, two different approaches for studying a 535 metagenome can be used: to perform an assembly or co-assembly, or work with 536 unassembled raw reads. The co-assembly provides a common reference for all the 537 538 samples, making it easy the comparison, and generates longer sequences in the form of contigs more suited for the analysis, since they contain several genes that can 539 increase the reliability of taxonomic and functional assignments. On the other hand, the 540 541 lower is the amount of sequences, the less complete and comprehensive is the assembly. Using raw reads, thus skipping the assembly, has the advantage of using all 542 543 information available, without discarding any reads. The main drawback is the more 544 demanding computational costs, since this analysis is carried using Diamond Blastx [27], implying translation and homology searching of the six frames of each read. 545 546

547 To reach our goal of producing a full metagenomic analysis in less than 24 hours using 548 a laptop as computing infrastructure, the analysis of raw reads is less feasible since it 549 would take a longer time. Therefore, the co-assembly approach for analyzing the data 550 was followed. Although the contigs obtained were rather long, only around 30% of the 551 reads were assembled. To avoid discarding the unassembled reads, we used the singleton mode of SqueezeMeta, which includes these as new contigs. The following 552 553 steps of the analysis proceed as usual, with the prediction and annotation of putative ORFs. Gene predictors' accuracy is reduced when the sequences are noisy, as it is 554 555 frequent in minION sequencing, but this can be acceptable if we just want a glimpse at the functional profiles to, in our case, select the most interesting spot. 556

557

The previous strategy can be refined by using the "doublepass" option of SqueezeMeta when it is necessary to be more precise, such as during the second day of marine samples analysis. This mode includes a step in which the predicted ORFs are evaluated according to the results of homology searching. ORF showing a strong hit with high coverage are kept. An additional blastx search is performed in the parts of the sequence with discarded or no ORFs, including reliable hits as new ORFs.

565 In summary, we advise the following:

-Keep gentle conditions for the DNA extraction, especially when dealing with bead
beating procedures. Extensive DNA fragmentation will hamper library preparation,
reducing sequencing yield.

-Take into account that room temperature means 25°C. Performance of all reactions
will degrade below that point. Take corrective measures such as the use of portable
heaters.

-Put effort in purifying the extracted DNA. A contaminated DNA library can damage theflow cell very quickly.

-If the concentration is lower than the recommended 40 ng/ml, sequencing is possible
but perhaps the ratio sequence/adapter may need be adjusted (add less adapter).
-A fast but representative analysis can be done by assemblying the sequences and
adding unassembled reads to the resulting contigs (for this we use the –singletons
option in SqueezeMeta).

579

We demonstrate here that it is possible to generate metagenomic information in less than one day, making it feasible to obtain taxonomic and functional profiles fastly and efficiently, even under field conditions. This capacity can be used in the future for realtime functional and taxonomic monitoring of microbial communities in remote areas.

### 585 Acknowledgments

586 This research was funded by projects TRAITS (PID2019-110011RB-C31) and

587 ROCKEATERS (PID2019-105469RB-C22) of Agencia Estatal de Investigación,

588 Spanish National Plan for Scientific and Technical Research and Innovation. We thank

589 the crew on the R/V Kraken and the ECIMAT team for their hospitality and

590 professionalism during the cruises and lab work. We particularly thank professor Emilio

591 Fernández for his advice and help during oceanographic cruises.

592

### 593 **References**

594

Deamer, D., Akeson, M., & Branton, D. (2016). Three decades of nanopore
 sequencing. *Nature Biotechnology*, *34*, 518–524. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3423

- 2. Quick, J., Ashton, P., Calus, S., Chatt, C., Gossain, S., Hawker, J., Nair, S., Neal, K.,
  Nye, K., Peters, T., De Pinna, E., Robinson, E., Struthers, K., Webber, M., Catto,
  A., Dallman, T. J., Hawkey, P., & Loman, N. J. (2015). Rapid draft sequencing and
  real-time nanopore sequencing in a hospital outbreak of Salmonella. *Genome Biology*, *16*(1), 114. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0677-2
- 3. Quick J, Loman NJ, Duraffour S, Simpson JT, Severi E, Cowley L, Bore JA, 602 603 Koundouno R, Dudas G, Mikhail A, Ouédraogo N, Afrough B, Bah A, Baum JH, Becker-Ziaja B, Boettcher JP, Cabeza-Cabrerizo M, Camino-Sanchez A, Carter 604 LL, Doerrbecker J, Enkirch T, Dorival IGG, Hetzelt N, Hinzmann J, Holm T, 605 606 Kafetzopoulou LE, Koropogui M, Kosgey A, Kuisma E, Logue CH, Mazzarelli A, 607 Meisel S, Mertens M, Michel J, Ngabo D, Nitzsche K, Pallash E, Patrono LV, Portmann J, Repits JG, Rickett NY, Sachse A, Singethan K, Vitoriano I, 608 609 Yemanaberhan RL, Zekeng EG, Trina R, Bello A, Sall AA, Faye O, Faye O, Magassouba N, Williams CV, Amburgey V, Winona L, Davis E, Gerlach J, 610 Washington F, Monteil V, Jourdain M, Bererd M, Camara A, Somlare H, Camara 611 612 A, Gerard M, Bado G, Baillet B, Delaune D, Nebie KY, Diarra A, Savane Y, Pallawo RB, Gutierrez GJ, Milhano N, Roger I, Williams CJ, Yattara F, 613 Lewandowski K, Taylor J, Rachwal P, Turner D, Pollakis G, Hiscox JA, Matthews 614 DA, O'Shea MK, Johnston AM, Wilson D, Hutley E, Smit E, Di Caro A, Woelfel R, 615 Stoecker K, Fleischmann E, Gabriel M, Weller SA, Koivogui L, Diallo B, Keita S, 616 Rambaut A, Formenty P, Gunther S, Carroll MW (2016). Real-time, portable 617 genome sequencing for Ebola surveillance. Nature, 530(7589), 228–232. 618 619 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16996
- 4. Gowers, G. O. F., Vince, O., Charles, J. H., Klarenberg, I., Ellis, T., & Edwards, A.
  (2019). Entirely off-grid and solar-powered DNA sequencing of microbial
  communities during an ice cap traverse expedition. *Genes* 10(11):902.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10110902

5. Johnson, S. S., Zaikova, E., Goerlitz, D. S., Bai, Y., & Tighe, S. W. (2017). Real-time
DNA sequencing in the antarctic dry valleys using the Oxford nanopore sequencer. *Journal of Biomolecular Techniques*, 28(1), 2–7. https://doi.org/10.7171/jbt.172801-009

- 6. Lim, Y. W., Cuevas, D. A., Silva, G. G. Z., Aguinaldo, K., Dinsdale, E. A., Haas, A.
  F., Hatay, M., Sanchez, S. E., Wegley-Kelly, L., Dutilh, B. E., Harkins, T. T., Lee,
  C. C., Tom, W., Sandin, S. A., Smith, J. E., Zgliczynski, B., Vermeij, M. J. A.,
  Rohwer, F., & Edwards, R. A. (2014). Sequencing at sea: challenges and
  experiences in Ion Torrent PGM sequencing during the 2013 Southern Line
  Islands Research Expedition. *PeerJ*, *2*, e520. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.520
- 7. Nowinski B, Smith CB, Thomas CM, Esson K, Marin R 3rd, Preston CM, Birch JM,
  Scholin CA, Huntemann M, Clum A, Foster B, Foster B, Roux S, Palaniappan K,
  Varghese N, Mukherjee S, Reddy TBK, Daum C, Copeland A, Chen IA, Ivanova
  NN, Kyrpides NC, Glavina Del Rio T, Whitman WB, Kiene RP, Eloe-Fadrosh EA,
  Moran MA. (2019). Microbial metagenomes and metatranscriptomes during a
  coastal phytoplankton bloom. *Scientific Data* .6(1):129.
- 640 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0132-4
- 8. Turingan, R. S., Thomann, H. U., Zolotova, A., Tan, E., & Selden, R. F. (2013).
- 642 Rapid Focused Sequencing: A Multiplexed Assay for Simultaneous Detection and

643 Strain Typing of Bacillus anthracis, Francisella tularensis, and Yersinia pestis. PLoS ONE 8(2):e56093. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056093 644 9. De Filippis, F., Parente, E., & Ercolini, D. (2017). Metagenomics insights into food 645 646 fermentations. Microbial Biotechnology 10(1):91-102. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12421 647 10. Walsh, A.M., Crispie, F., Claesson, M.J., Cotter, P.D. (2017) Translating Omics to 648 649 Food Microbiology. Annu Rev Food Sci Technol. 8:113-134. doi: 10.1146/annurevfood-030216-025729. 650 11. Laudadio I., Fulci V., Palone F., Stronati L., Cucchiara S., Carissimi C. (2018) 651 652 Quantitative Assessment of Shotgun Metagenomics and 16S rDNA Amplicon 653 Sequencing in the Study of Human Gut Microbiome. OMICS 22(4):248-254.http://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2018.0013 654 655 12. Zepeda, M. L., Sicheritz-Pontén, T., Gilbert, M. T. P. (2015). Environmental genes and genomes: understanding the differences and challenges in the approaches 656 657 and software for their analyses, Briefings in Bioinformatics, (16), 5, 745–758. 658 https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbv001 13. Tamames, J., & Puente-Sánchez, F. (2019). SqueezeMeta, a highly portable, fully 659 automatic metagenomic analysis pipeline. Frontiers in Microbiology 9:3349. 660 661 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03349 14. Puente-Sánchez, F., García-García, N., & Tamames, J. (2020). SQMtools: 662 663 Automated processing and visual analysis of 'omics data with R and anvi'o. BMC 664 Bioinformatics 21(1):358. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-020-03703-2 15. Tamames, J., Cobo-Simón, M. & Puente-Sánchez, F (2019). Assessing the 665 performance of different approaches for functional and taxonomic annotation of 666 667 metagenomes. BMC Genomics 20, 960. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-6289-6 668 16. Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. (2019) Assembly of long, error-prone 669 reads using repeat graphs. Nat Biotechnol. 37(5):540-546. doi: 10.1038/s41587-670 671 019-0072-8. 672 17. Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K, Miller JR, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. (2017) Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and 673 repeat separation. Genome Res. 27(5):722-736. doi: 10.1101/gr.215087.116. 674 675 18. Li, D., Liu, C-M., Luo, R., Sadakane, K., and Lam, T-W., (2015) MEGAHIT: An 676 ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. Bioinformatics, 31(10):1674-6. 677 678 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv033 19. Breitwieser, F. P., & Salzberg, S. L. (2020). Pavian: Interactive analysis of 679 680 metagenomics data for microbiome studies and pathogen identification. 681 Bioinformatics 36(4), 1303-4. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz715 20. Luo, W., & Brouwer, C. (2013). Pathview: An R/Bioconductor package for pathway-682 683 based data integration and visualization. *Bioinformatics* 29(14):1830-1. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt285 684 21. Nogueira, E., Pérez, F. F., Rios, A. F. (1997). Seasonal patterns and long-term 685 trends in an estuarine upwelling ecosystem (Ria de Vigo, NW Spain). Estuarine. 686 Coastal and Shelf Science, 44(3), 285-300. https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.1996.0119 687

- 688 22. García-Gil, S. (2003). A natural laboratory for shallow gas: the Rías Baixas (NW
- 689 Spain). *Geo-Marine Letters*, *23*, 215-229. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00367-003-690 0159-5
- 23. Ramírez-Pérez, A. M., De Blas, E., & García-Gil, S. (2015). Redox processes in
   pore water of anoxic sediments with shallow gas. *Science of the Total*
- 693 *Environment*, 538, 317-326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.07.111

694 695 696 697	<ol> <li>de Carlos, A., Martínez-Carreño, N., Barros-García, D., Luis, J. R., &amp; García-Gil, S. (2017). Geochemical and microbial context of the gassy sediments in the Ría de Vigo (NW of Spain). <i>Marine Geology</i>, 385, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2016.12.004</li> </ol>
698 699 700	25. Benson D.A., Cavanaugh M., Clark K., Karsch-Mizrachi I., Lipman D.J., Ostell J., Sayers E.W.(2013) GenBank. <i>Nucleic Acids Res 41,</i> D36-42. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1195.
701 702 703	26. Ammazzalorso, A.D., Zolnik, C.P., Daniels, T.J., Kolokotronis ,S. (2015). To beat or not to beat a tick: comparison of DNA extraction methods for ticks ( <i>Ixodes</i> <i>scapularis</i> ) PeerJ 3:e1147 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1147
704 705 706	<ol> <li>Buchfink, B., Xie, C., &amp; Huson, D. H. (2015). Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. <i>Nature Methods</i>, <i>12</i>(1), 59–60. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3176</li> </ol>
707	
708	
709	
710	
711	
712	
713	
714	
715	Data availability and Benefit-Sharing
716	SqueezeMeta and SQMtoos software are available at the following address:
717	https://github.com/jtamames/SqueezeMeta.
718	SQMxplore software is available at: https://github.com/redondrio/SQMxplore
719	Sequence data from volcanic rock and marine samples are deposited in SRA
720	(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) under accession numbers SAMN37106907 and
721	SAMN37106908 for lava rock samples, and SAMN37107275 to SAMN37107279 for
722	seawater samples. Metadata are also stored in the SRA (BioProjects PRJNA1007952

and PRJNA1007958) using the NCBI Package Metagenome, version 1.0. Additionally,
sequence files can be found at: https://saco.csic.es/index.php/s/s7tEaRLgL9wX3r8

725

Benefits Generated: Benefits from this research accrue from the sharing of our dataand results on public databases as described above.

728

## 729 Author contribution

- JT and AdR designed the study. JT and DJ set up the experimental platform. SMG
- organized the oceanographic sampling in Ria de Vigo, and helped in the interpretation
- of results. AdR prepared the volcanic rock sampling in La Palma island, and helped in
- the interpretation of results. AR set up the SQMxplore platform for sharing and
- 734 disseminating results. JT drafted the manuscript. All authors read, corrected and
- 735 approved the manuscript.

# 737 Tables and Figures

	San Antonio	Teneguía	
Total reads	60200	33382	
Total bases	191.0 Mb	95.4 Mb	
Longest read	34.73 Kb	32.3 Kb	
N50	6279	5082	
Total ORFs	69153	37705	
ORFs with KEGGs	48225	26483	
ORFs with COGs	54254	28336	

	Cap Home	Samil	SanSimon (1 <sup>st</sup> day)	SanSimon (2 <sup>nd</sup> day, 2 mts)	SanSimon (2 <sup>nd</sup> day, 5 mts)
Total reads	30854	19585	48254	58387	11409
Total bases	70.1 Mb	40.0 Mb	173.3 Mb	203.7 Mb	31.7 Mb
Longest read	43.28 Kb	42.08 Kb	59.71 Kb	42.27 Kb	34.83 Kb
Total ORFs	116433	68610	318223	464548	88662
ORFs with KEGGs	19551	10780	43321	109386	24999
ORFs with COGs	25683	13942	55377	145642	33070

Table 1: Sequencing and analysis data for both environments: Upper table: Volcanic rock samples. Lower table: Seawater samples 742



## Figure 1

Figure 1: Approximate timeline of an in-situ metagenomic experiment. Time points in the left side are estimates, and refer to the starting time of the given step.



Multiple sockets

Thermal isolated container

# Figure 2

753 Figure 2: In-field setting of the portable laboratory







- 755 Figure 3: Upper: Recent eruptions in La Palma island, and location of the sampling
- spots in the confluence of Teneguia and San Antonio lava flows (Source:
- 757 <u>http://www.ign.es/resources/docs/IGNCnig/VLC-Teoria-Volcanologia.pdf</u>). Lower:
- 758 Sampling locations in Ria de Vigo.
- 759
- 760
- 761
- 762



San Antonio

# Figure 4

Figure 4: Taxonomic profiles of Teneguia and San Antonio metagenomic samples of
lava rocks. Plots were done using Pavian (Breitwieser & Salzberg, 2020) and the
sqm2pavian script of SqueezeMeta.

767



## Figure 5

Figure 5: Relative abundances of sulfur genes in the three locations in Ria de Vigo. The
 rightmost column corresponds to San Simon sample. Genes driving the selection of

this sampling spot for a second sequencing, as discused in the text, are marked. These

are: SoxA (K17222, EC 2.8.5.2), SoxB (K217224, EC 3.1.6.20) genes, thiosulfate sulfur

transferase (K02439, EC 2.8.1.1), Taurine dioxygenase (K03119, EC 1.14.11.17),

775	dehydrogenation	of sulfite (	K21307,	EC 1.8.5.6; K0530	1, EC 1.8.2.1)	and sulfate
-----	-----------------	--------------	---------	-------------------	----------------	-------------

- reduction (K00955, EC 2.7.1.25,; K01082, EC3.1.3.7) 777