

Causes of differences in the distribution of the invasive plants *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* and *Ambrosia trifida* in the Yili Valley, China

hegan dong¹, Tong Liu¹, Zhongquan Liu², Yan Liu¹, Zhanli Song³, Baoxiong Chen⁴, Qianqian Ma¹, and Zhigang Li⁵

¹Shihezi University

²Yili Vocational and Technical College

³Yili State Forestry Academy

⁴Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs of the People's Republic of China

⁵The First Affiliated Hospital of Shihezi University Medical College

August 10, 2020

Abstract

Ambrosia artemisiifolia and *Ambrosia trifida* are two species of very harmful and invasive plants of the same genus. However, it remains unclear why *A. artemisiifolia* is more widely distributed than *A. trifida* worldwide. Distribution and abundance of these two species were surveyed and measured from 2010 to 2017 in the Yili Valley, Xinjiang, China. Soil temperature and humidity, main companion species, the biological characteristics in farmland ecotone, residential area, roadside and grassland, and water demand of the two species were determined and studied from 2017 to 2018. The area occupied by *A. artemisiifolia* in the Yili Valley was more extensive than that of *A. trifida*, while the abundance of *A. artemisiifolia* in grassland was less than that of *A. trifida* at eight years after invasion. The interspecific competitive ability of two species were stronger than those of companion species in farmland ecotone, residential, and roadside. In addition, *A. trifida* had greater interspecific competitive ability than other plant species in grassland. The seed size and seed weight of *A. trifida* were five times or eight times those of *A. artemisiifolia*. When comparing the changes under simulated annual precipitation of 840 mm versus 280 mm, the seed yield per m² of *A. trifida* decreased from 50,185 to 19, while that of *A. artemisiifolia* decreased from 15,579 to 530. The differences in the distribution of the two species are mainly due to differences in interspecific competitive ability, seed size, and water dependence. The two species have stronger interspecific competitive ability than that of companion species, but *A. artemisiifolia* has a smaller seed size and stronger drought tolerance, which allows *A. artemisiifolia* to spread farther than *A. trifida*. The reason for wider distribution of *A. trifida* in grassland is that *A. trifida* has stronger interspecific competitive ability than *A. artemisiifolia* under sufficient water.

Introduction

Two invasive herbaceous species, *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida*, have recently become troublesome weeds in several regions of the world, especially in central and eastern Europe as well as in China (Chrenová, Mičičeta, & Ščevková, 2010; Kasprzyk et al., 2011; Qin et al., 2014; Hamaoui-Laguel et al., 2015). The existence of these two ragweed plants has greatly changed the biodiversity, structure, and function of the invaded ecosystems, seriously threatening agricultural production and human health (Katz & Carey, 2014; Qin et al., 2014; Hamaoui-Laguel et al., 2015; Page & Nurse, 2015). Many reports have addressed the invasion process and distribution of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* (Chauvel et al., 2006; Aikio, Duncan, & Hulme, 2010; Pinke et al., 2011; Joly et al., 2011; Cunze et al., 2013; Richter et al., 2013; Storkey et al., 2014; Bae et al., 2015; Chapman et al., 2016; Leiblein-Wild et al., 2016; Skálová et al., 2017). *Ambrosia*

artemisiifolia and *A. trifida* belong to the same genus and originated in North America (Bazzaz, 1979; Essl et al., 2015). They spread to other continents as early as 1836 (Essl et al., 2015) or 1829 (Verloove, 2016) without considering its cultivation in botanical gardens. According to the global geographical distribution of the two species (CABI Invasive Species Compendium, <https://www.cabi.org/isc/search/index?q=Ambrosia>, accessed February 21, 2020), the distribution of *A. artemisiifolia* is more extensive than that of *A. trifida*. In addition, *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* occur in 80 and 40 countries, respectively (Montagnani et al., 2017). Why does *A. artemisiifolia* invade a larger area than *A. trifida* worldwide? In order to provide information necessary for the early warning of invasion by the two species, a more in-depth study is necessary.

Differences in distribution between species are normally caused by differences in genetic adaptation to environmental conditions. *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* often invade roadsides, farmland ecotones, wastelands (Essl, Dullinger, & Kleinbauer, 2009; Pinke et al., 2013; Milakovic, Fiedler, & Karrer, 2014), residential habitats (Ziska et al., 2003), and other disturbed areas (Bassett & Crompton, 1982; Fumanal et al., 2008; Milakovic et al., 2014; Essl et al., 2015). *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* is rarely found in grasslands (Bullock et al., 2012); however, *A. trifida* occurs in grasslands (Regnier et al., 2016). In terms of specific regions, there is partial overlap between the two species' niches, but these two plants tend to invade different types of microhabitats. The main reason for the differences in habitat that they invade is not clear. Generally, invasive plant species have strong performance-related traits, including those related to physiology, leaf-area allocation, shoot allocation, growth rate, size, and fitness than do non-invasive plant species (van Kleunen, Weber, & Fischer, 2010; van Kleunen et al., 2015). *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* both have relative strong interspecific competitive ability (Montagnani et al., 2017). The effect of interspecific competitive ability on the distribution differences of two species is unclear.

Water availability affects plant seed germination, growth, and reproduction, factors that are the basis of species distribution and competition, especially in arid and semi-arid areas. Leiblein-Wild and Löscher (2011) found that *A. artemisiifolia* grew well under moist soil conditions and that it can survive in dry soils. *Ambrosia trifida* needs more water than *A. artemisiifolia* (Abul-Fatih & Bazzaz, 1979; Bassett & Crompton, 1982). It is not clear how the water use capacity affects the distribution difference of the two species. Moreover, the link between the differences in distribution and water demand of these two species during seed germination, plant growth, and reproduction period remain unclear.

Temperature has a significant effect on the distribution and growth of the two species (Pinke et al., 2011; Storkey et al., 2014; Qin et al., 2014). *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* have become widespread in temperate regions (Bassett & Crompton, 1975; Essl et al., 2015; Montagnani et al., 2017). Seeds of the two species require prolonged chilling to break dormancy (Davis, 1930; Bazzaz, 1979; Shrestha et al., 1999; Essl et al., 2015). Following seedling emergence, the rate of vegetative growth depends on temperature, but development occurs over a wide thermal range (Deen, Hunt, & Swanton, 1998).

The Yili Valley, Xinjiang, China covers an area of 56,400 km² and contains a rich variety of habitats, including grasslands, farmlands, mountains, and residential areas (Jia et al., 2011). Our previous study found that *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* simultaneously invaded the same area of the Yili Valley in 2010, and we also found that the dominant habitat distributions of two species were different (Dong et al., 2017). Therefore, the Yili Valley provides a large, relatively closed field experiment site in which to study the beginning of an invasion by the two species along with their subsequent diffusion. This study can therefore help to explain the distribution differences and causes for successful invasion of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida*, providing insight into the reasons for the resulting distribution of these two species worldwide.

Distribution and abundance of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* were surveyed and measured from 2010 to 2017 in the Yili Valley, Xinjiang, China. The soil physical and chemical properties, soil temperature and humidity, and the main companion species were determined in farmland ecotone, residential area, roadside and grassland in 2017. Also, biological characteristics, such as density and coverage, plant height, number of seeds per plant, 100-seed weight, and seed size of these two species and companion species (density and coverage, plant height) in four habitats were measured in 2017. Moreover, the differences in water demand between the two species were studied through seed germination and garden experiments from October 2017

to October 2018. The following questions were explored: What were the differences in the distribution of these two species in the Yili Valley? What caused the differences in the distribution of these two species?

Materials & Methods

Design of experiments

Experiment 1: Distribution area and abundance of two *Ambrosia* species

Research area

The Yili Valley (42°14'–44deg53'N, 80deg09'–84deg56'E) lies in the westernmost part of the Tianshan Mountain Range in the Xinjiang Autonomous Region. The region has an average annual temperature and precipitation of 10.4degC and 417.6 mm, respectively. Yili Valley can be thought of as a wet island in the arid area of Xinjiang, as it has an abundant and unique set of plant species, and the valley is listed as one of five most important areas of terrestrial biodiversity in China (Chen, 1993).

Xinyuan County (43deg03'–43deg40'N, 82deg28'–84deg56'E) is located in the hinterland of the Gongnaisi grassland in the eastern part of the Yili Valley. This site is the main distribution area of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida*. The average annual temperature and precipitation are 8.1degC and 480 mm, respectively. We studied the interspecific competitive ability, seed size, and water demand differences between the two species in farmland ecotone, residential area, roadside, and grassland in Xinyuan County, because these four habitats were the main distribution areas of the two species (Dong et al., 2017), and there were relatively large differences in water status, temperature status, and companion species between those four habitats.

Distribution area

The distribution areas of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* in the Yili Valley were surveyed and measured during the growth periods from 2010 to 2017. Every year, through a large number of field censuses, new distributional points of the two species were recorded with GPS to determine the current distribution boundaries of the two species. In order to ensure the accuracy of the measurements, we included a sufficient number of boundary points, with the distances between two consecutive points limited to 2–3 km. These points were then marked on a Google map to calculate the distribution areas.

Abundance

In July 2010, we set an observation point every 1.5 km along National Road 218 from Zeketai Town (43deg37'–43deg40'N, 83deg10'–83deg39'E) to Nalati Town (43deg15'–43deg37'N, 83deg85'–84deg56'E) in Xinyuan County; observation points were laid out within 0–10 km on both sides of the road, and each observation point covered 10 m x 10 m. The observation points included farmland ecotones, residential areas, grasslands, and roadside habitats. There were 25 points in each habitat (100 points in total). From 2010 to 2017, we investigated the incidence of the two *Ambrosia* species and calculated their distribution and abundance in each plot using Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively:

$$\text{Abundance in habitat} = (\text{number of occurrences in a habitat} / 25) \times 100\%. \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Total abundance} = (\text{number of occurrences in all habitats} / 100) \times 100\%. \quad (2)$$

Experiment 2: Soil physical and chemical properties, soil temperature and humidity, and companion species in four habitats

Soil physical and chemical properties

The differences in soil physical and chemical properties were compared between farmland ecotones, residential areas, grasslands, and roadsides. The upper 0–20 cm of soil from four habitats (selected at observation points determined in 2010 in Experiment 1 where *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* were present) was divided into two layers. The soil in each 10-cm layer was sampled, and soil properties were determined in July 2017 as follows. Total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and total potassium were determined using the micro-Kjeldahl, sodium hydroxide melting-molybdenum anti-colorimetric, and flame photometry methods, respectively. Soil pH was

measured using a Mettler-Toledo pH meter (UB-10, USA), and soil conductivity was measured using a conductivity meter (Hach, USA). Soil organic matter content was checked using the $K_2CrO_7-H_2SO_4$ external heating method. Alkaline hydrolysis nitrogen, available P, and available K were measured using the alkaline hydrolysis diffusion method, Mo-Sb colorimetry, and the ammonium acetate method, respectively. Soil samples from each habitat were taken three times in three individual sites (more than 5 km apart), and a total of 3 (repetition) x 4 (habitat) x 2 (soil layer), which resulted in 24 samples being collected.

Soil temperature and humidity

In order to compare the water demand between the two species analyzed, soil temperature and humidity meters (Watch Dog 1200, USA) were placed in the 10-cm soil layer in the four habitats on September 1, 2017; the meters were removed on October 2, 2018. Each temperature and humidity meter recorded data every hour. The data from October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 were used to analyze the annual conditions. Three temperature and humidity recorders were placed in three individual sites for each habitat, and a total of 3 (repetition) x 4 (habitat) = 12 recording units were set up.

The data for temperature and humidity were divided into four parts, namely the winter season (October 1, 2017–March 31, 2018; WP), seedling period (April 1–May 31, 2018; SP), growing period (June 1–July 31, 2018; GP), and flowering and fruiting period (August 1–September 30, 2018; FFP). The average temperature and humidity data for each period were calculated.

Companion species

In the early seedling (April 20; ES) period, late seedling (May 20; LS) period, early growth (June 20; EG) period, late growth (July 20; LG) period, flowering (August 20; FR) period, and maturity (September 20; MR) period in 2017, the main companion species were counted in the four habitats.

Experiment 3: Observation of biological characteristics

In the ES (April 20), LS (May 20), EG (June 20), LG (July 20), FR (August 20), and MR (September 20) periods in 2017, the densities, coverage, and plant heights of the two *Ambrosia* species and companion species were measured in the four habitats. Each observation plot was 5 m x 5 m. The plant heights were measured for 30 plants of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida*, and 30 plants of companion species in each plot. If there were fewer than 30 *Ambrosia* or companion species plants, we measure all of them. Three plots from each habitat were taken in three individual sites, for a total of 3 (repetition) x 4 (habitat) sampling units in 12 plots being set up.

Six *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* plants were randomly selected from each sample plot, and all seeds counted on these plants were removed in September 2017. If some seeds had fallen, we estimated the number based on the locations of the seeds. A total of 100 seeds from each plant were randomly selected, air-dried, and weighed with 0.0001 g precision on an electronic balance (BDS, China). Twenty seeds were randomly selected from each plant, and the lengths and widths of these seeds were measured with Vernier calipers (BDS, China) to calculate the average seed size using Eqs. (3) and (4), with three repetitions for each:

$$\text{Seed size} = \text{seed length} \times \text{seed width}. \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Seed yield m}^{-2} = \text{average number of seeds per plant} \times \text{the number of plants m}^{-2}. \quad (4)$$

Experiment 4: Water demand differences between two *Ambrosia* species

Seed germination

Seed germination was analyzed in the laboratory. In October 2016, the seeds of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* were collected from four habitats in the Yili Valley and combined. The amount of seeds between habitats was set to be equal, and the seeds were initially stored in the dark at 0–5degC in a cold storage room with 40% relative humidity (Bae, Benoit, & Watson, 2016). In June 2017, 50 g heat-dried in situ soil samples were weighed, and each sample was placed in a Petri dish. Next, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, and 12.5 g of distilled water was added to each Petri dish, resulting in the soil moisture contents in the various Petri dishes of 5%,

10%, 15%, 20%, and 25%, respectively. For each sample, 20 fully developed undamaged same-sized seeds of *A. artemisiifolia* or *A. trifida* were uniformly spread on the soil surface in Petri dishes. Each group of seeds was evenly placed in Petri dishes. The seeds were treated in a climatic chamber (GTOP-150Y, China) for 60 d at 20–10degC, 12h/12h light/darkness, and 3000 lx light intensity, after which the germination rate was calculated by counting the number of germinated seeds. Seeds with the seed radicle at least 0.2 mm long were considered to have germinated. Seed germination was checked every day. When no seeds germinated in a single Petri dish for five consecutive days, it was regarded as the end of germination.

Growth and Reproduction

A plant growth experiment was performed in the experimental garden from October 2017 to October 2018 in Yining City (43deg50'–44deg09'N,80deg04'–81deg29'E), located in the Yili Valley. This locale has an average annual temperature of 10.5degC and an average annual precipitation of 280 mm. Three irrigation treatment gradients were established in the experimental garden: (1) no irrigation with 280 mm of annual precipitation; (2) 2800 m³/hm² of irrigation during the growth period (equivalent to 560 mm of annual precipitation supplemented by 400 m³/hm² of irrigation every month from April to October 2018), and (3) 5600 m³/hm² of irrigation during growth period (equivalent to 840 mm of annual precipitation supplemented by 800 m³/hm² of irrigation every month from April to October 2018). Each water treatment was tested with three plots, and eighteen plots were randomly arranged with 3 m x 3 m plots for each irrigation sample area. Plastic film was buried vertically to a depth of 40 cm in the soil around each irrigation plot to separate the water received in each plot. Each plot was uniformly sprinkled with 900 seeds of *A. artemisiifolia* or *A. trifida*.

Data collection

Density and plant height were observed during the ES (April 15), LS (May 15), EG (June 15), LG (July 15), FR (August 15), and MR (September 20) periods in 2018, and seed yield per m² was observed in MR (September 20) in 2018. The statistical analysis of density, plant height, and seed yield were the same as in Experiment 3.

Statistical analysis methods

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and multiple least significant difference comparisons were used to explore the differences in soil physical and chemical properties (Table 1) and soil temperature and humidity (Fig.3) between the four habitats, while 100-seed weight, seed size, number of seeds per plant, and seed yield per m² were compared between *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* (Fig. 5). ANOVA was also used to examine differences in densities, coverage, and plant heights of *A. artemisiifolia*, *A. trifida*, and companion species between the four habitats (Fig. 4). ANOVA, multiple least significant difference comparisons, and *t*-tests were used to explore the differences in seed germination (Table 3), density and plant height (Fig. 6), and seed yield (Table 4) of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* in different water gradients. IBM SPSS Statistics 20 was used for data analysis, and OriginPro 8.5 was employed for graphics.

Results

Distribution differences between *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* (Experiment 1)

Ambrosia artemisiifolia and *A. trifida* invaded the Yili Valley starting in 2010. Since 2014, the areas occupied by these two species have increased rapidly, although *A. artemisiifolia* is distributed over a larger area than *A. trifida*. By 2017, these two species had occupied 1322 and 311 km², respectively; thus, the former occupied 4.25 times the area inhabited by *A. trifida* (Fig. 1).

The species abundances were measured in the 25 plots in each habitat. From 2010 to 2017, the abundance of *A. artemisiifolia* was higher than that of *A. trifida* and increased rapidly in farmland ecotone, residential area, and roadside habitats. By 2017, total abundance of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* were 57% and 39%, respectively, so that *A. artemisiifolia* was 1.46 times more abundant than *A. trifida*. However, the

abundance of *A. artemisiifolia* in grassland was less than that of *A. trifida*, where the latter was 3.5 times more abundant than the former (Fig. 2).

Soil physical and chemical properties, soil temperature and humidity, and companion species in four habitats (Experiment 2)

Soil physical and chemical properties showed little difference among different habitats; however, the soil total nitrogen levels in farmland ecotones and roadsides were higher and lower, respectively, than those in other habitats. The contents of available phosphorus and available potassium in grasslands were lower than those in other habitats. Soil organic matter content in grasslands was higher than that in other habitats (Table 1).

In SP, the soil temperature of farmland was significantly higher than in other habitats, and that of grassland was significantly lower than in other habitats; in GP, the soil temperatures of grassland and roadside were significantly lower than those of other habitats; in FFP, the roadside temperature was significantly lower than those of other habitats. The soil moisture in different habitats showed significant differences in different periods, and the values of soil moisture were ranked as follows: grassland > farmland ecotone > residential area > roadside (Fig. 3).

The types of companion species in farmland ecotones, residential areas and roadsides were similar and quite different from those of grassland (Table 2).

Biological characteristics of *A. artemisiifolia*, *A. trifida* and companion species (Experiment 3)

Ambrosia trifida was significantly taller than other plant species in all habitats from LS period to MR period, being 3.45 to 8.3 times taller than the companion species in the FR period. *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* was significantly taller than companion species in the farmland ecotone and residential area from ES period to MR period (Fig. 4).

The density of *A. trifida* was significantly higher than that of the other species in all habitats, reaching 1.35 to 4.4 times that of the companion species in the FR period. The density of *A. artemisiifolia* was higher than that of the companion species in the farmland ecotone, residential area, and roadside, at 1.39 to 2.23 times that of the companion species in FR period. However, the density of *A. artemisiifolia* was lower than that of the companion species in grassland, at only 0.37 times the density of the companion species in FR period (Fig. 4).

The coverage of *A. trifida* was significantly greater than that of the other species in all habitats from LS period to MR period, at 1.31 to 2.8 times that of the companion species in FR period, respectively. The coverage of *A. artemisiifolia* was significantly higher than that of the companion species in the farmland ecotone and residential area from EG period to MR period, at 1.84 and 1.7 times that of the companion species in FR period, respectively. However, the coverage was significantly lower than the companion species in grassland, at 0.53 times that of the companion species in FR period (Fig. 4).

The 100-seed weight and seed size of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* in roadside habitats were significantly lower than those in other habitats. The seed size of *A. trifida* was about five times that of *A. artemisiifolia*, while the weight of *A. trifida* seeds was about eight times that of *A. artemisiifolia* (Fig. 5).

The numbers of seeds per plant of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* in roadside habitat were significantly lower than in other habitats. *Ambrosia trifida* produced a significantly greater number of seeds per plant in grassland than in other habitats. Meanwhile, *A. artemisiifolia* produced fewer seeds per plant than *A. trifida* in grassland, but more than *A. trifida* in other habitats (Fig. 5).

Seed yield per m² of *A. artemisiifolia* in various habitats was ranked as follows: farmland ecotone > residential area > grassland > roadside. Seed yield per m² of *A. trifida* in various habitats differed as follows: grassland > farmland ecotone > residential area > roadside. Seed yield per m² of *A. artemisiifolia* was less than that of *A. trifida* in all habitats (Fig. 5).

The water demand for seed germination, plant growth, and reproduction in *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* (Experiment 4)

The seed germination rates of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* increased with increasing soil moisture. However, no significant difference in seed germination rate was observed when comparing these two species under the same soil moisture content (Table 3).

Under 560 and 840 mm of simulated annual precipitation, *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* both grew better than under 280 mm of annual precipitation during the growing period. The growth of *A. trifida* was very poor, with a low seed yield, whereas *A. artemisiifolia* grew better than *A. trifida* under 280 mm of annual precipitation. When comparing plants experiencing 840 mm of simulated rainfall and 280 mm of annual precipitation during the growing period, the densities and plant heights of these two species were not significantly different in ES. From the FR to MR, the density, plant height, and seed yield of *A. trifida* decreased more than those of *A. artemisiifolia*. In FR, the density and plant height of *A. trifida* decreased by 88.5% and 74.5%, respectively, while those of *A. artemisiifolia* decreased by 24.5% and 21.6%, respectively (Fig. 6). The seed yield per m² of *A. trifida* decreased from 50,185 to 19, while that of *A. artemisiifolia* decreased from 15,579 to 530 (Table 4).

Discussion

Ambrosia artemisiifolia invaded more quickly than *A. trifida* in the Yili Valley. In roadside, farmland ecotone, and residential area habitats with relatively poor water availability and weak interspecific competition, *A. artemisiifolia* was much more abundant than *A. trifida*. In grassland with relatively rich water availability and strong interspecific competition, *A. trifida* was much more abundant than *A. artemisiifolia* (Fig. 2). In the study area, more types and larger areas of suitable habitat are available to *A. artemisiifolia* than to *A. trifida*, which is consistent with the distribution of these two species worldwide (Chauvel et al., 2006; Bullock et al., 2012; Follak et al., 2013; Regnier et al., 2016; Montagnani et al., 2017).

Greater population density, higher plant height, and greater coverage are conducive to successful plant invasion (Chapman et al., 2014; Chapman et al., 2016). Although the density, height, and coverage of *A. trifida* were higher than those of *A. artemisiifolia* and companion species in roadside, farmland ecotone, and residential area in the present study (Fig. 4), the distribution points of *A. trifida* were all located in low-lying and waterlogged areas (Fig 2). *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* is highly competitive in continuously disturbed habitats such as roadsides and farmland ecotones (Kazinczi et al., 2008; Novak et al., 2009; Bullock et al., 2012; Gentili et al., 2015; Gentili et al., 2017) as the disturbances decrease competition. *Ambrosia trifida* is widely distributed in grassland as the density, height, and coverage of *A. trifida* are higher than those of *A. artemisiifolia* and companion species (Fig. 4). The life history strategy of *A. trifida* is mostly based on rapid growth that allows the plants to quickly reach a greater height and biomass than other plants (Abul-Fatih & Bazzaz, 1979). Stronger interspecific competitive ability of *A. trifida* may explain larger distribution of the species in grassland.

The primary means of dispersal of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* seeds is barochory (Basset & Crompton, 1975; Montagnani et al., 2017). The medium-distance and long-distance dispersal of *A. artemisiifolia* and *A. trifida* is driven by human activities and obstruction in many ways (Bullock et al., 2012). Seed size is an important factor affecting seed diffusion and species distribution (Washitani & Nishiyama, 1992). *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* has lighter and smaller seeds (Fig. 5), so *A. artemisiifolia* seeds are easier to spread in habitats with more human activity such as residential area and roadside (Essl et al., 2009; Bullock et al., 2012; Skalova et al., 2017). Easier spread of seeds of *A. artemisiifolia* may explain larger distribution of the species in the Yili Valley. In addition, the long-term seed bank of *A. artemisiifolia* (Webster, Cardina, & White, 2003; Fumanal et al., 2008) may be mentioned as a factor stabilizing populations, especially in very dry years when seed production is low.

Ambrosia artemisiifolia can grow well and produce more seeds than *A. trifida* with a limited water supply when the latter produces almost no seeds (Table 4). This shows that *A. artemisiifolia* has a stronger ability than *A. trifida* to tolerate drought. The net photosynthetic rate of *A. artemisiifolia* decreases during

periods of reduced soil water content (Bazzaz, 1973), but the plants recover rapidly from short-term droughts (Bazzaz, 1973; Bazzaz, 1974). In unusually dry years or on dry sites, *A. artemisiifolia* plants have stunted growth but remain able to produce seeds, albeit in small quantities (Raynal & Bazzaz, 1975; Leiblein-Wild & Losch, 2011). Stronger drought tolerance of *A. artemisiifolia* may explain larger distribution of the species in roadside, farmland ecotone, and residential area habitats with relatively poor water availability. Low rainfall is a limiting factor for the growth of *A. trifida* (Basset & Crompton, 1982). Therefore, *A. trifida* can invasion success only when adequate water is available.

Ambrosia artemisiifolia and *A. trifida* were mainly distributed in farmland ecotone, roadside, residential area, grassland valley, and other accumulated water in the Yili Valley (Dong et al., 2013), and there was no obvious law for the difference in soil temperature of the four habitats in different periods (Fig. 3). Therefore, we believe that the existing distribution pattern of the two species is not mainly affected by temperature in the Yili Valley.

Since the causes of species distribution include factors other than interspecific competition, seed size, and water demand, other issues need to be discussed in future work if researchers wish to better explain the reasons for the differences between these two species. Additional factors to investigate include: 1) how temperature and water work collectively to affect the germination, growth, and reproduction of these two species; and 2) quantitative analysis of the influence of the difference in seed size on the difference in distribution of the two species.

Acknowledgements:

This work was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Xinjiang Autonomous Region (Grant No. 2019D01B50), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31770461), and the Xinjiang Grassroots Youth Science and Technology Talent Training Project (Grant No. 2017Q103). We would like to thank LetPub (www.letpub.com) for providing linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.


References

1. Abul-Fatih, H.A., & Bazzaz, F.A. (1979). The biology of *Ambrosia trifida* L.I. influence of species removal on the organization of the plant community. *New Phytologist*, 83(3): 813-816. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.1979.tb02312.x
2. Aikio, S., Duncan, R.P., & Hulme, P.E. (2010). Herbarium records identify the role of long-distance spread in the spatial distribution of alien plants in New Zealand. *Journal of Biogeography*, 37(9): 1740-1751. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02329.x
3. Bae, J., Benoit, D.L., & Watson, A.K. (2016). Effect of heavy metals on seed germination and seedling growth of common ragweed and roadside ground cover legumes. *Environmental Pollution*, 213, 112-118. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.11.041
4. Bae, J., Byun, C., Watson, A.K., & Benoit, D.L. (2015). Ground cover species selection to manage common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.) in roadside edge of highway. *Plant Ecology*, 216(2): 263-271. doi: 10.1007/s11258-014-0433-9
5. Bassett, I.J., & Crompton, C.W. (1975). The biology of Canadian Weeds. 11. *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L. and *A. psilostachya* DC. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 55, 463-476. doi:10.4141/cjps75-072
6. Bassett, I.J., & Crompton, C.W. (1982). The biology of Canadian weeds .55. *Ambrosia trifida* L. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 62(4): 1003-1010. doi:10.4141/cjps82-148
7. Bazzaz, F.A. (1973). Photosynthesis of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L. plants grown in greenhouse and in the field. *American Midland Naturalist*, 90, 186-190. doi:10.2307/2424278
8. Bazzaz, F.A. (1974). Ecophysiology of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*: a successional dominant. *Ecology*, 55, 112-119. doi: 10.2307/1934623
9. Bazzaz, F.A. (1979). The physiological ecology of plant succession. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 10(1): 351-371. doi:10.1146/annurev.es.10.110179.002031.
10. Bullock, J., Chapman, D., Schaffer, S., Roy, D., Girardello, M., & Haynes, T. (2012).

- Assessing and controlling the spread and the effects of common ragweed in Europe (ENV.B2/ETU/2010/0037). *European Commission, Final Report*.
11. Chapman, D.S., Makra, L., Albertini, R., Bonini, M., Paldy, A., Rodinkova, V., ... Bullock, J.M. (2016). Modelling the introduction and spread of non-native species: international trade and climate change drive ragweed invasion. *Global Change Biology* , 22(9), 3067-3079. doi:10.1111/gcb.13220
 12. Chapman, D.S., Haynes, T., Beal, S., Essl, F., & Bullock, J.M. (2014). Phenology predicts the native and invasive range limits of common ragweed. *Global Change Biology* , 20(1): 192-202. doi:10.1111/gcb.12380
 13. Chauvel, B., Dessaint, F., Cardinal-Legrand, C., & Bretagnolle, F. (2006). The historical spread of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L. in France from herbarium records. *Journal of Biogeography* , 33(4): 665-673. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2005.01401.x
 14. Chen, L.Z. (1993). Biodiversity of China: Status and conservation strategies. *Science Press* , Beijing. 194-197.
 15. Chrenova, J., Mičieta, K., & Ščevková, J. (2010). Monitoring of *Ambrosia* pollen concentration in the atmosphere of Bratislava (Slovakia) during years 2002-2007. *Aerobiologia* , 26(2):83-88. doi:10.1007/s10453-009-9145-3
 16. Cunze, S., Leiblein-Wild, M.C., & Tackenberg, O. (2013). Range expansion of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* in Europe is promoted by climate change. *ISRN Ecology* , 2013, 1-9. doi:10.1155/2013/610126
 17. Davis, W.E. (1930). Primary dormancy, after-ripening, and the development of secondary dormancy in embryos of *Ambrosia trifida* . *American Journal of Botany* , 17(1), 58-76. doi:10.1002/j.1537-2197.1930.tb09533.x
 18. Deen, W., Hunt, T. & Swanton, C.J. (1998). Influence of temperature, photoperiod, and irradiance on the phenological development of common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*). *Weed Science* , 46, 555-560. doi:10.1017/S0043174500091098
 19. Dong, H.G, Zhou, M.D, Liu, Z.Q., Hao X.Y., Liu, Y., Abdulvai A, & Liu, T. (2017). Diffusion and intrusion features of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* and *Ambrosia trifida* in Yili River Valley. *Journal of Arid Land Resources & Environment* , 31(11): 175-180. doi:1003-7578(2017) 11-175-06
 20. Essl, F., Biró, K., Brandes, D., Broennimann, O., Bullock, J. M., Chapman, D. S., ... Follak, S. (2015). Biological Flora of the British Isles: *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* . *Journal of Ecology* , 103(4), 1069-1098. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12424
 21. Essl, F., Dullinger, S., & Kleinbauer, I. (2009). Changes in the spatio-temporal patterns and habitat preferences of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* during its invasion in Austria. *Preslia* , 81, 119-133. doi:10.1140/epjst/e2009-01021-y
 22. Follak, S., Dullinger, S., Kleinbauer, I., Moser, D., & Essl F. (2013). Invasion dynamics of three allergenic invasive *Asteraceae* (*Ambrosia trifida*, *Artemisia annua*, *Iva xanthiifolia*) in central and eastern Europe. *Preslia* , 85(1): 41-61. doi:10.1093/jxb/ers398
 23. Fumanal, B., Girod, C., Fried, G., Bretagnolle, F., & Chauvel, B. (2008). Can the large ecological amplitude of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* explain its invasive success in France? *Weed Research* , 48(4): 349-359. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3180.2008.00627.x.
 24. Gentili, R., Gilardelli, F., Ciappetta, S., Ghiani, A., & Citterio, S. (2015). Inducing competition: intensive grassland seeding to control *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* . *Weed Research* , 55(3), 278-288. doi:10.1111/wre.12143
 25. Gentili, R., Montagnani, C., Gilardelli, F., Guarino, M.F., & Citterio, S. (2017). Let native species take their course: *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* replacement during natural or "artificial" succession. *Acta Oecologica* , 82, 32-40. doi:10.1016/j.actao.2017.05.007
 26. Hamaoui-Laguel, L., Vautard, R., Liu, L., Solmon, F., Viovy, N., & Khvorostyanov, D. (2015). Effects of climate change and seed dispersal on airborne ragweed pollen loads in Europe. *Nature Climate Change* , 5(8):1-7. doi:10.1038/nclimate2652
 27. Jia, F.Q., Jian-er, A.H., Zhang, X.F., Zhang, W., & Zhao, Y. (2011). Age structures of components of *Leymus chinensis* population in different habitats in the Yili River Valley Area, China. *Chinese Journal of Grassland* , 33(2): 95-99. doi:1673-5021(2011)02-0095-05

28. Joly, M., Bertrand, P., Gbangou, R.Y., White, M.C., Dubé, J., & Lavoie, C. (2011). Paving the way for invasive species: road type and the spread of common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*). *Environmental Management*, 48(3), 514-522. doi:10.1007/s00267-011-9711-7
29. Kasprzyk, I., Myszkowska, D., Grewling, L., Stach, A., Šikoparija, B., Ambelas S.C., & Smith, M. (2010). The occurrence of *Ambrosia* pollen in Rzeszów, Kraków and Poznań, Poland: investigation of trends and possible transport of *Ambrosia* pollen from Ukraine. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 55(4), 633-644. doi:10.1007/s00484-010-0376-3
30. Katz, D.S.W., & Carey, T.S. (2014). Heterogeneity in ragweed pollen exposure is determined by plant composition at small spatial scales. *Science of the Total Environment*, 435-440. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.099
31. Kazinczi, G., Beres, I., Pathy, Z., & Novak, R. (2008). Common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*). A review with special regards to the results in Hungary. II. importance and harmful effect, allergy, habitat, allelopathy and beneficial characteristics. *Herbologia*, 9, 93-118.
32. Leiblein-Wild, M.C., & Lösch, R. (2011). Biomass development and CO₂ gas exchange of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L. under different soil moisture conditions. *Flora - Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants*, 206(5), 511-516. doi:10.1016/j.flora.2010.09.011
33. Leiblein-Wild, M.C., Steinkamp, J., Hickler, T., & Tackenberg, O. (2016). Modelling the potential distribution, net primary production and phenology of common ragweed with a physiological model. *Journal of Biogeography*, 43(3), 544-554. doi:10.1111/jbi.12646
34. Milakovic, I., Fiedler, K., & Karrer, G. (2014). Management of roadside populations of invasive *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* by mowing. *Weed Research*, 54(3), 256-264. doi:10.1111/wre.12074
35. Montagnani, C., Gentili, R., Smith, M., Guarino, M. F., & Citterio, S. (2017). The worldwide spread, success, and impact of ragweed (*Ambrosia* spp.). *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 36(3), 139-178. doi:10.1080/07352689.2017.1360112
36. Novak, R., Dancza, I., Szentey, L., & Karamán, J. (2009). Arable weeds of Hungary. The 5th national weed survey (2007-2008). *Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development*, Budapest, Hungary.
37. Page, E.R., & Nurse, R.E. (2015). Cropping systems and the prevalence of giant ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida*): from the 1950's to present. *Field Crops Research*, 184: 104-111. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2015.09.013
38. Pinke, G., Karacsony, P., Bálint, C., & Zoltan, B.D. (2011). Environmental and land-use variables determining the abundance of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* in arable fields in Hungary. *Preslia*, 83, 219-235. doi:10.1111/j.1759-6831.2011.00132.x
39. Pinke, G., Karacsony, P., Botta-Dukát, Z., & Czúcz, B. (2013). Relating *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* and other weeds to the management of Hungarian sunflower crops. *Journal of Pest Science*, 86, 621-631. doi: 10.1007/s10340-013-0484-z
40. Qin, Z., DiTommaso, A., Wu, R.S., & Huang, H.Y. (2014). Potential distribution of two *Ambrosia* species in China under projected climate change. *Weed Research*, 54(5), 520-531. doi:10.1111/wre.12100
41. Raynal, D.J., & Bazzaz, F.A. (1975). Interference of winter annuals with *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* in early successional fields. *Ecology*, 56(1), 35-49. doi:10.2307/1935298
42. Regnier, E.E., Harrison, S. K., Loux, M.M., Holloman, C., Venkatesh, R., Diekmann, F., ... Johnson, W.G. (2016). Certified crop advisors' perceptions of giant ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida*) distribution, herbicide resistance, and management in the corn belt. *Weed Science*, 64: 361-377. doi:10.1614/WS-D-15-00116.1
43. Richter, R., Berger, U.E., Dullinger, S., Essl, F., Leitner, M., Smith, M., & Vogl, G. (2013). Spread of invasive ragweed: climate change, management and how to reduce allergy costs. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 50(6), 1422-1430. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12156
44. Shrestha, A., Erivelton, S.R., Thomas, A.G., & Swanton, C.J. (1999). Modeling germination and shoot-radicle elongation of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*. *Weed Science*, 47, 557-562. doi:10.1017/S0043174500092262
45. Skalova, H., Guo, W.Y., Wild, J., & Pyšek, P. (2017). *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* in the Czech Republic: history of invasion, current distribution and prediction of future spread. *Preslia*, 89: 1-16. doi:10.23855/preslia.2017.001

46. Storkey, J., Stratonovitch, P., Chapman, D.S., Vidotto, F., & Semenov, M.A. (2014). A process-based approach to predicting the effect of climate change on the distribution of an invasive allergenic plant in Europe. *Plos One* , 9(2), e88156. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088156
47. Van Kleunen, M., Dawson, W., Essl, F., Pergl, J., Winter, M., Weber, E., ... Pyšek, P. (2015). Global exchange and accumulation of non-native plants. *Nature* , 525(7567), 100-103. doi:10.1038/nature14910
48. Van Kleunen, M., Weber, E., & Fischer, M. (2010). A meta-analysis of trait differences between invasive and non-invasive plant species. *Ecology Letters* , 13(2), 235-245. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01418.x
49. Verloove, F. (2016). *Ambrosia trifida* . in: manual of the alien plants of Belgium. *Botanic Garden of Meise* , Belgium.
50. Washitani, I., & Nishiyama, S. (1992). Effects of seed size and seedling emergence time on the fitness components of *Ambrosia trifida* and *A. artemisiifolia* var. *elatior* in competition with grass perennials. *Plant Species Biology* , 7(1), 11-19. doi:10.1111/j.1442-1984.1992.tb00238.x
51. Webster, T.M., Cardina, J., & White, A.D. (2003). Weed seed rain, soil seedbanks, and seedling recruitment in no-tillage crop rotations. *Weed Science* , 51, 569-575. doi:10.1614/0043-1745(2003)051[0569:wsrssa]2.0.co;2
52. Ziska, L.H., Gebhard, D.E., Frenz, D.A., Faulkner, S., Singer, B.D., & Straka, J.G. (2003). Cities as harbingers of climate change: common ragweed, urbanization, and public health. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* , 111, 290-295. doi:10.1067/ma



figures/fig-2/fig-2-eps-converted-to.pdf

figures/fig-3/fig-3-eps-converted-to.pdf

figures/fig-4/fig-4-eps-converted-to.pdf

figures/fig-5/fig-5-eps-converted-to.pdf

